

# On $d$ -Unit Graphs in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Sergio R. Canoy, Jr.

## Abstract

In this paper, the unit dimension of a graph with respect to a metric  $d$  on the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined. This generalizes the concept of the Euclidean unit dimension of a graph in the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as defined by various authors in [1], [2], [3], and [4]. It is also shown that two equivalent metrics in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  may yield different unit dimensions of some complete graphs.

**Keywords:** Euclidean, unit, dimension, graph, metric, representation

## 1 Introduction

The Euclidean unit dimension of graphs had been defined and studied by various authors in [1], [2], [3], and [4]. In this paper, we make an initial step to generalize the said concept by using an arbitrary metric  $d$  on the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We shall consider two particular metrics on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which are equivalent to the Euclidean (or usual) metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and see that these metrics yield different unit dimensions of some complete graphs.

Throughout this paper, any graph  $G = (V(G), E(G))$ , where  $V(G)$ , and  $E(G)$  are, respectively, the vertex set and the edge set of  $G$ , is a simple graph

---

✉ **SERGIO R. CANOY, JR.** is a Professor of Mathematics in the Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Mathematics, MSU-IIT, Iligan City.

and  $d$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 1.1** A graph  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  is a  $d$ -unit graph in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  if there exists a one-to-one mapping  $\phi : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $d(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = 1$  whenever  $[x, y] \in E(G)$ . Such a mapping is called a  $d$ -unit representation or  $d$ -unit embedding of  $G$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

Note that if  $\phi$  is a  $d$ -unit embedding of  $G$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then we may look at  $G$  as the graph  $\phi(G)$ , where  $V(\phi(G)) = \{\phi(x) : x \in V(G)\}$  and  $E(\phi(G)) = \{[\phi(x), \phi(y)] : [x, y] \in E(G)\}$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

**Remark 1.2** For each  $n \geq 1$ , there exists a metric  $d^*$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that if  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  is a graph with  $E(G) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $G$  has no  $d^*$ -unit representation on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

To see this, let  $n \geq 1$  and consider the trivial metric  $d^*$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by  $d^*(x, y) = 1$  if  $x = y$  and  $d^*(x, y) = 0$  otherwise. If  $E(G) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $G$  has at least two distinct vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  such that  $v_1v_2$  is in  $E(G)$ . If  $\phi$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $V(G)$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\phi(v_1) \neq \phi(v_2)$ . It follows that  $d^*(\phi(v_1), \phi(v_2)) \neq 1$ . This implies that  $G$  cannot have a  $d^*$ -unit embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 1.3** Let  $d$  be a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The dimension of  $G$  with respect to the metric  $d$ , denoted by  $d\text{-Dim}(G)$  is given by

$$d\text{-Dim}(G) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : G \text{ has a } d\text{-unit embedding in } \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Notice that if there exists a natural number  $k$  such that  $G$  has a  $d$ -unit representation in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then by the Well Ordering Principle,  $d\text{-Dim}(G)$  exists.

On the other hand, if  $G$  has no  $d$ -unit embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for every non-negative integer  $n$ , then  $d\text{-Dim}(G)$  does not exist. This brings us to the next remark which easily follows from the first and Definition 1.3.

**Remark 1.4** *If  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  is a graph with  $E(G) \neq \emptyset$  and  $d^*$  is the trivial metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $d^*\text{-Dim}(G)$  does not exist.*

**Theorem 1.5** *If  $d_1$  is the usual metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (for all  $n$ ) and  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  is a graph of order  $k$ , then  $d_1\text{-Dim}(G)$  exists.*

*Proof:* For convenience, we let  $V(G) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k\}$ . For each  $j \in V(G)$ , let  $p_j = (0, 0, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \dots, 0)$  be in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , where the  $j$ th component is  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  and 0 elsewhere. Clearly,  $d_1(p_i, p_j) = 1$  for all pairs  $(i, j)$  with  $i \neq j$ . Define  $\phi : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  by  $\phi(j) = p_j$ . Then  $\phi$  is a unit embedding of  $G$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . By Definition 1.3, it follows that  $d_1\text{-Dim}(G)$  exists.

**Theorem 1.6** *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $H$  a subgraph of  $G$ . If  $d\text{-Dim}(G)$  exists, then  $d\text{-Dim}(H)$  exists and  $d\text{-Dim}(H) \leq d\text{-Dim}(G)$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose  $d\text{-Dim}(G) = n$ . Let  $\phi : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a unit embedding of  $G$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$ ,  $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ . Let  $\alpha : V(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $V(H)$ , i.e.,  $\alpha = \phi|_{V(H)}$  (the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $V(H)$ ). Then  $\alpha$  is a  $d$ -unit embedding of  $H$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By Definition 1.3,  $d\text{-Dim}(H)$  exists and  $d\text{-Dim}(H) \leq n = d\text{-Dim}(G)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.7** *If  $H$  and  $G$  are isomorphic graphs and at least one of them has a  $d$ -unit embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  for some  $k$ , then  $d\text{-Dim}(H)$  and  $d\text{-Dim}(G)$  both exist and are equal.*



*Proof:* We may suppose without loss of generality that  $H$  has a  $d$ -unit embedding  $\phi : V(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  for some  $k$ . Since  $H$  and  $G$  are isomorphic, there exists a bijective mapping  $\alpha : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$  which preserves adjacency. The composition mapping  $\phi \circ \alpha : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is a bijective mapping. Also, since

$$d((\phi \circ \alpha)(u), (\phi \circ \alpha)(v)) = d(\phi(\alpha(u)), \phi(\alpha(v))) = 1$$

for all distinct vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ , it follows that  $\phi \circ \alpha$  is a unit embedding of  $G$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . By Definition 1.3,  $d\text{-Dim}(H)$  and  $d\text{-Dim}(G)$  both exist.

Now, let  $d\text{-Dim}(H) = q$  and  $d\text{-Dim}(G) = p$ . Then  $H$  has unit embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^q$ . As shown above,  $G$  has also a unit embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^q$ . By Definition 1.3,  $p \leq q$ . Interchanging the roles of  $H$  and  $G$  in the above proof yields the inequality  $q \leq p$ . Thus,  $d\text{-Dim}(H) = d\text{-Dim}(G)$ .  $\square$

Next, we show that equivalent metrics on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (for all  $n$ ) may induce different dimensions of a certain graph. In what follows,  $d_1$  is the usual (Euclidean) metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $d_2$  and  $d_3$  are the metrics on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by

$$d_2(x, y) = \max\{|x_i - x_j| : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\},$$

$$d_3(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - x_j|,$$

where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ .

**Theorem 1.8** ([1],[3]) For all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $d_1(K_n) = n - 1$ .

**Lemma 1.9** Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $\phi : V(K_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  be a  $d_2$ -unit embedding of  $K_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then there exists a  $d_2$ -unit embedding of  $K_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  such that the images are points whose components consist of zeros and ones only.

*Proof:* Let  $V(K_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and suppose that for each  $j \in V(K_n)$ ,  $\phi(j) = p_j = (\beta_{j_1}, \beta_{j_2}, \dots, \beta_{j_k})$ . By definition of  $d_2$ , we may assume that the components of  $\phi(j)$  are all integers for each  $j \in V(K_n)$ . Define  $\pi : V(K_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  by  $\pi(j) = q_j = (\beta_{j_1}(\text{mod } 2), \beta_{j_2}(\text{mod } 2), \dots, \beta_{j_k}(\text{mod } 2))$ . Clearly,  $\pi$  is a well-defined function. Let  $r, s \in V(K_n)$  and suppose that  $\pi(r) = \pi(s)$ . Then  $\beta_{rm}(\text{mod } 2) = \beta_{sm}(\text{mod } 2)$  for all  $m = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . This means that for all  $m$ , 2 divides  $|\beta_{rm} - \beta_{sm}|$ . If  $r \neq s$ , then since  $\phi$  is a  $d_2$ -unit embedding,  $d_2(p_r, p_s) = 1$ , i.e., there exists a natural number  $t$ , where  $1 \leq t \leq k$ , such that  $|\beta_{rt} - \beta_{st}| = 1$ . This is contrary to an earlier statement. Therefore  $r = s$  and hence,  $\pi$  is one-to-one.

Further, if  $r \neq s$ , then there exists a natural number  $t$ , where  $1 \leq t \leq k$ , such that  $|\beta_{rt} - \beta_{st}| = 1$ . Consequently,  $|\beta_{rt}(\text{mod } 2) - \beta_{st}(\text{mod } 2)| = 1$  and  $d_2(q_r, q_s) = 1$ . Thus,  $\pi$  is a desired  $d_2$ -unit embedding of  $K_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . □

**Lemma 1.10** *Let  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $n \leq 2^k$ . Then there exists a  $d_2$ -unit embedding of  $K_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  the images of which are in  $S$ , where  $S$  is the subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  consisting of points  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k)$ , where  $\beta_j$  is 0 or 1 for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .*

*Proof:* Using a combinatorial technique, it can be shown that  $S$  has  $2^k$  elements. Also, if  $x$  and  $y$  are distinct elements of  $S$ , then  $d_2(x, y) = 1$ . Therefore, since  $n \leq 2^k$ , a  $d_2$ -unit embedding can be constructed. □

**Corollary 1.11** *If  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  is a graph of order  $n$ , then  $d_2$ - $\text{Dim}(G)$  exists.*

*Proof:* Suppose the order of  $G$  is  $n$ . Since  $n \leq 2^n$ ,  $K_n$  has a  $d_2$ -unit

embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by Lemma 1.10. By Definition 1.3,  $d_2\text{-Dim}(K_n)$  exists. By Theorem 1.7, since  $G$  is a subgraph of  $K_n$ ,  $d_2\text{-Dim}(G)$  exists.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.12** For all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$d_2\text{-Dim}(K_n) = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq 2^m\}.$$

*Proof:* Suppose  $d_2\text{-Dim}(K_n) = k$ . Then  $K_n$  has a  $d_2$ -unit embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . By Lemma 1.9, we must have  $n \leq 2^k$ . Hence,  $k \geq r = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq 2^m\}$ . Since  $n \leq 2^r$ ,  $K_n$  has a  $d_2$ -unit embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  whose images are in  $S$  (the set in Lemma 1.10) by Lemma 1.10. Hence, by Definition 1.3,  $d_2\text{-Dim}(K_n) = k \leq r$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.13** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 < m \leq 2^n$ . Then

$$d_2\text{-Dim}(K_{2^n}) = n \text{ and } d_2\text{-Dim}(K_{2^n+m}) = n + 1.$$

*Proof:* Since  $n = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : V(K_{2^n}) = 2^n \leq 2^m\}$ , the first result follows from Theorem 1.12. Also, since  $0 < m \leq 2^n$ , it follows that  $2^n < 2^n + m = |K_{2^n+m}| \leq 2^{n+1}$ . Thus, by Theorem 1.12,  $d_2\text{-Dim}(K_{2^n+m}) = n + 1$ .  $\square$

**Example 1.14** Since  $32 = 2^5$  and  $7 = 2^2 + 3$ , it follows that  $d_2\text{-Dim}(K_{32}) = 5$  and  $d_2\text{-Dim}(K_7) = 3$ . Note that by Theorem 1.8,  $d_1\text{-Dim}(K_{32}) = 31$  and  $d_1\text{-Dim}(K_7) = 6$ .

**Theorem 1.15** Let  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $n \leq 2^k$ . Then there exists a  $d_3$ -unit embedding of  $K_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and  $d_3\text{-Dim}(K - n)$  exists. Further,

$$d_3\text{-Dim}(K_n) \leq \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq 2^k\}.$$



*Proof:* Let  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $n \leq 2k$ . Denote by  $T_1$  the set consisting of all points  $(0, \dots, 0, 1/2, 0, \dots, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , where the  $j$ th component is  $1/2$  and  $0$  elsewhere, and by  $T_2$  the set of all points  $-p$ , where  $p \in T_1$ . Then the set  $T = T_1 \cup T_2$  has  $2k$  distinct elements. Therefore, since  $n \leq 2k$ , we can construct a one-to-one function  $\varphi$  from  $V(K_n)$  into  $T$ . Further, since  $d_3(p_1, p_2) = 1$  for any two distinct elements  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  of  $T$ , it follows that the function  $\varphi$  is a  $d_3$ -unit embedding of  $K_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Consequently, by Definition 1.3,  $d_3$ -Dim( $K_n$ ) exists and  $d_3$ -Dim( $K_n$ )  $\leq$   $\min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq 2k\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.16** *If  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  is a graph of order  $n$ , then  $d_3$ -Dim( $G$ ) exists.*

*Proof:* Suppose the order of  $G$  is  $n$ . By Theorem 1.15,  $d_3$ -Dim( $K_n$ ) exists. By Theorem 1.7, since  $G$  is a subgraph of  $K_n$ ,  $d_3$ -Dim( $G$ ) also exists.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.17** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 < m \leq 2n$ . Then*

$$d_3\text{-Dim}(K_{2n}) \leq n$$

$$d_3\text{-Dim}(K_{2n+m}) \leq n + 1.$$

*Proof:* Since  $n = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : |V(K_{2n})| = 2n \leq 2m\}$ , the first result follows from Theorem 1.15. Also, since  $0 < m \leq 2n$ ,  $2n < 2n + m = |K_{2n+m}| \leq 2(n + 1)$ . Thus, by Theorem 1.15,  $d_3$ -Dim( $K_{2n+m}$ ) =  $n + 1$ .

**Example 1.18** Since  $32 = 2(16)$  and  $7 = 2(3) + 1$ , it follows that  $d_3$ -Dim( $K_{32}$ )  $\leq$   $16$  and  $d_3$ -Dim( $K_7$ ) =  $4$ .

**Remark 1.19** *The upper bounds obtained in Theorem 1.15 and Corollary 1.17 are best possible. This author conjectured that the given bounds are the exact values of the dimensions.*

## References

- [1] Baylon, R.T., *On the geometric index of graphs*, Masteral Thesis, MSU-Iligan Institute of Technology, February 1996.
- [2] Erdos, P., Harary, F., and Tutte, W., *On the dimension of a graph*, *Matimyas Matematika*, **12** (1965) 118-122.
- [3] Gervacio, S.V., *Unit embedding of graphs in the euclidean  $n$ -space*, Plenary paper during the AMC, Suranaree University, Thailand, 1995.
- [4] Isla, R.T., *On the dimension of graphs*, Ph.D. Dissertation submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, MSU-IIT, 2000.