

## Path Chromatic Number of Complementary Graphs

ESPERANZA BLANCAFLOR ARUGAY

The *path chromatic number* of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi_x(G)$ , is the minimum number of colors the points of  $G$  can be given so that each monochromatic color class induces a linear forest. Equivalently, it is the minimum number of subsets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_l$  into which  $V(G)$  can be partitioned so that each  $\langle V_i \rangle$  is a linear forest. A *path partition* of a graph  $G$  is a partition of the vertices of  $G$  such that each subset in the partition induces a linear forest.

This paper presents analogues of some interesting theorems due to Nordhaus and Gaddum [5] and John Mitchem [4].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $C_n = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_1)$  be a cycle,  $n > 5$ . The subgraph induced by any four points labeled consecutively in  $C_n$  is a  $P_4$  in  $\overline{C_n}$ .*

*Proof.* Any four consecutive vertices in a cycle  $C_n, n \geq 5$ , form a path  $P_4$  and  $P_4$  is self-complementary. Hence, the same vertices also form a path in  $\overline{C_n}$ .  $\square$


**Lemma 2.** *Let  $C_n = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_1)$  be a cycle,  $n > 6$ . The subgraph induced by at least five points of  $V(\overline{C_n})$  contains a cycle.*

*Proof.* Given any five points of  $C_n$ , it is easy to see that there exist three points that are mutually non-adjacent. Thus, these three points are mutually adjacent in  $\overline{C_n}$ , forming a triangle. Hence, the subgraph induced by at least five points of  $V(\overline{C_n})$  contains a cycle.  $\square$

*Observation 1.* Let  $P_n$  be a path of order  $n, n \geq 4$ . The subgraph induced by any four points labeled consecutively in  $P_n$  is a  $P_4$  in  $\overline{P_n}$ .

*Observation 2.* The subgraph induced by at least five points of  $V(\overline{P_n})$  contains a cycle, where  $P_n$  is path,  $n \geq 5$ .

---

 ESPERANZA B. ARUGAY, Professor of Mathematics, MSU - Iligan Institute of Technology, Iligan City, obtained her Ph.D. in Mathematics from the Manila Consortium through Ateneo de Manila University. She specializes in graph theory.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $C_n$  is a cycle of order  $n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , then  $\chi_\infty(\overline{C}_n) = \lceil n/4 \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to check the theorem in the case  $n = 3$ , and 4. Let  $C_n$  be a cycle of order  $n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ . Label  $V(C_n)$  consecutively by  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ . Note that  $V(C_n) = V(\overline{C}_n)$ . From Lemma 2, the subgraph induced by at least five points in  $\overline{C}_n$  contains a cycle. Thus,  $\chi_\infty(\overline{C}_n) > n/5$ . But from Lemma 1 any four consecutive points of  $V(\overline{C}_n)$  induces a path of order four. Thus, we color  $V(\overline{C}_n)$  by coloring every four consecutive vertices by a distinct color. Since the path chromatic number is an integer, we have  $\chi_\infty(\overline{C}_n) = \lceil n/4 \rceil$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *For cycles  $C_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,*

(i)  $\chi_\infty(C_n) + \chi_\infty(\overline{C}_n) > \chi_\infty(K_n)$  if  $n < 7$ ;

(ii)  $\chi_\infty(C_n) + \chi_\infty(\overline{C}_n) \leq \chi_\infty(K_n)$  if  $n \geq 7$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since  $\chi_\infty(K_n) = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ ,  $\chi_\infty(C_n) = 2$ , and  $\chi_\infty(\overline{C}_n) = \lceil n/4 \rceil$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lceil n/2 \rceil - \lceil n/4 \rceil &= \lceil n/2 \rceil - \lceil (1/2)n/2 \rceil \\ &\leq \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1/2 \lceil n/2 \rceil \\ &= 1/2 \lceil n/2 \rceil < 2, \end{aligned}$$

when  $n < 7$ . Thus  $\lceil n/2 \rceil < 2 + \lceil n/4 \rceil$  when  $n < 7$ . Hence,  $\chi_\infty(C_n \cup \overline{C}_n) < \chi_\infty(C_n) + \chi_\infty(\overline{C}_n)$  when  $n < 7$ .

(ii) if  $n \geq 7$ , it is easy to verify that  $\lceil n/2 \rceil - \lceil n/4 \rceil \geq 2$ . Thus,  $\lceil n/2 \rceil \geq 2 + \lceil n/4 \rceil$ . Therefore,  $\chi_\infty(C_n \cup \overline{C}_n) \geq \chi_\infty(C_n) + \chi_\infty(\overline{C}_n)$  when  $n \geq 7$ .  $\square$

The following observations can be easily verified.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $P_n$  is a path of order  $n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\chi_\infty(\overline{P}_n) = \lceil n/4 \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *For complete bipartite graphs  $K_{m,n}$ ,*

$$\chi_\infty(\overline{K}_{m,n}) = \max \{ \chi_\infty(K_m), \chi_\infty(K_n) \}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $K_{m,n}$  be a complete bipartite graph. Then the complement of  $K_{m,n}$  denoted by  $\overline{K}_{m,n}$  has two components, which are complete graphs  $K_m$  and  $K_n$ .

Clearly, the path chromatic number of  $\overline{K}_{m,n}$  is the maximum of the path chromatic numbers between  $K_m$  and  $K_n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** For complete bipartite graphs  $K_{m,n}$ ,  $m \geq n$ ,

(i)  $\chi_\infty(K_{m,n}) + \chi_\infty(\overline{K}_{m,n}) > \chi_\infty(K_{m+n})$  if  $n \geq 2$ , or  $n = 3$  and  $m$  is odd.

(ii)  $\chi_\infty(K_{m,n}) + \chi_\infty(\overline{K}_{m,n}) = \chi_\infty(K_{m+n})$  if  $n = 3$  and  $m$  is even, or  $n = 4$ , or  $n = 5$  and  $m$  is odd.

(iii)  $\chi_\infty(K_{m,n}) + \chi_\infty(\overline{K}_{m,n}) < \chi_\infty(K_{m+n})$  if  $n = 5$  and  $m$  is even, or  $n \geq 6$ .

*Proof.*  $K_{m,n} \cup \overline{K}_{m,n} = K_{m+n}$ ;  $\chi_\infty(K_{m+n}) = \lceil (m+n)/2 \rceil$  by [1];

$$\chi_\infty(K_{m,n}) = 2 \text{ and } \chi_\infty(\overline{K}_{m,n}) = \lceil m/2 \rceil$$

from Theorem 4 and  $m \geq n$ . Consider  $\lceil (m+n)/2 \rceil - \lceil m/2 \rceil$ .

Case 1.  $\lceil (m+n)/2 \rceil - \lceil m/2 \rceil < 2$ .

Subcase 1.1.  $m$  is odd, and  $n$  is even. In this case we have  $(m+n)/2 + 1/2 - m/2 - 1/2 < 2$ . Hence,  $n/2 < 2$  and thus  $n < 4$ .

Subcase 1.2.  $m$  is even, and  $n$  is even. Then  $(m+n)/2 - m/2 < 2$ . Hence,  $n/2 < 2$  and thus  $n < 4$ .

Subcase 1.3.  $m$  is even, and  $n$  is odd. Then  $(m+n)/2 + 1/2 - m/2 < 2$ . Hence,  $n/2 < 3/2$  and thus  $n < 3$ .

Subcase 1.4.  $m$  is odd, and  $n$  is odd. Then  $(m+n)/2 - m/2 - 1/2 < 2$ . Hence,  $n/2 < 5/2$  and thus  $n < 5$ .

Thus,  $\lceil (m+n)/2 \rceil - \lceil n/2 \rceil < 2$  if  $n \leq 2$ , or  $n = 3$  and  $m$  is odd. Therefore,

$$\lceil (m+n)/2 \rceil < 2 + \lceil m/2 \rceil.$$

Hence,

$$\chi_\infty(K_{m,n} \cup K_{m,n}) < \chi_\infty(\overline{K}_{m,n}) + \chi_\infty(\overline{K}_{m,n})$$

if  $n \leq 2$ , or  $n = 3$  and  $m$  is odd.

Case 2.  $\lceil (m+n)/2 \rceil - \lceil m/2 \rceil = 2$ . Using a similar argument as in case 1, we also have the following subcases:

Subcase 2.1. When  $m$  is odd, and  $n$  is even, then  $n = 4$ ;

Subcase 2.2. When  $m$  is even, and  $n$  is even, then  $n = 4$ ;

Subcase 2.3. When  $m$  is even, and  $n$  is odd, then  $n = 3$ ;

Subcase 2.4. When  $m$  is odd, and  $n$  is odd, then  $n = 5$ .

Thus,  $\lceil (m+n)/2 \rceil - \lceil m/2 \rceil = 2$ , if  $n = 4$ , or  $n = 3$  and  $m$  is even, or  $n = 5$  and  $m$  is odd. Therefore,

$$\lceil (m+n)/2 \rceil = 2 + \lceil m/2 \rceil.$$

Hence,  $\chi_\infty(K_{m,n} \dot{\cup} \overline{K}_{m,n}) = \chi_\infty(K_{m,n}) + \chi_\infty(\overline{K}_{m,n})$  if  $n = 4$ , or  $n = 3$  and  $m$  is even, or  $n = 5$  and  $m$  is odd.

Case 3.  $\lceil (m+n)/2 \rceil - \lceil m/2 \rceil > 2$ . Again, using an argument similar to that in case 1, we have:

Subcase 3.1. When  $m$  is odd, and  $n$  is even, then  $n < 4$ ;

Subcase 3.2. When  $m$  is even, and  $n$  is even, then  $n < 4$ ;

Subcase 3.3. When  $m$  is even, and  $n$  is odd, then  $n < 3$ ;

Subcase 3.4. When  $m$  is odd, and  $n$  is odd, then  $n < 5$ .

Thus,  $\lceil (m+n)/2 \rceil - \lceil m/2 \rceil > 2$  if  $n \geq 6$ , or  $n = 5$  and  $m$  is even. Hence,

$$\lceil (m+n)/2 \rceil > 2 + \lceil m/2 \rceil.$$

Hence,  $\chi_\infty(K_{m,n} \dot{\cup} \overline{K}_{m,n}) > \chi_\infty(K_{m,n}) + \chi_\infty(\overline{K}_{m,n})$  if  $n \geq 6$ , or  $n = 5$  and  $m$  is even.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** For  $K_{m,1}$ ,  $\chi_\infty(K_{m,1} \dot{\cup} \overline{K}_{m,1}) < \chi_\infty(\overline{K}_{m,1})$ .

*Proof.* This falls under case 1 of Theorem 5(iii).  $\square$

Two of the many results dealing with the chromatic numbers of graphs, in particular, is the chromatic number of a graph and its complement due to Nordhaus and Gaddum [5]. They are given in the next two theorems.

**Theorem 6.** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $p$  and  $\chi(G)$  and  $\chi(\overline{G})$  denote respectively the chromatic numbers of a graph  $G$  and its complement  $\overline{G}$ , then*

- (i)  $2\sqrt{p} \leq \chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq p + 1;$
- (ii)  $p \leq \chi(G)\chi(\overline{G}) \leq [(p + 1) / 2]^2.$

John Mitchem presented an analogous result in [4] involving point arboricity. The **point arboricity**  $\rho(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the minimum number of subsets into which the vertices of  $G$  can be partitioned so that each subset induces an acyclic subgraph. His result may now be stated:

**Theorem 7.** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $p$ , then*

- (i)  $\sqrt{p} \leq \rho(G) + \rho(\overline{G}) \leq (p + 3) / 2;$
- (ii)  $p/4 \leq \rho(G) + \rho(\overline{G}) \leq [(p + 3) / 4]^2.$

Looking at Theorems 6 and 7, we can now formulate analogous results for the path chromatic number. First, let us observe that if  $G$  is a graph such that  $\chi_\infty(G) = t$ , then  $V(G)$  can be partitioned into  $t$  subsets such that each subset induces a linear forest. Now, since these are acyclic graphs,

$$\rho(G) \leq \chi_\infty(G).$$

Note that the path chromatic number of an acyclic graph does not exceed two, thus  $\chi_\infty(G) \leq 2\rho(G)$ . Hence,

$$\chi_\infty(G)/2 \leq \rho(G) \leq \chi_\infty(G).$$

It is easy to see that these bounds for  $\rho(G)$  are best possible. If  $G = K_{1,n}$  ( $n > 1$ ), then  $\chi_\infty(G) = 2$  and  $\rho(G) = 2/2 = 1$ . If  $G = P_n$ , then  $\chi_\infty(G) = 1 = \rho(G)$ .

**Theorem 8.** *For  $t \geq 2$ , let  $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$  be mutually disjoint linear forests with  $2 \leq |V_1| \leq |V_2| \leq \dots \leq |V_t|$  and  $|E_i| \geq 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .*

(i) Then  $\chi_\infty(G) = t$  where  $G = \sum_{i=1}^t G_i$ ;

(ii) If each  $G_i$  is a path then  $\chi_\infty(\overline{G}) = \lceil p/4 \rceil$ , where  $p = \max \{ |G_i| \}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $H$  be the induced subgraph of  $G$  containing two adjacent points from each  $G_i$ .

Then  $H = K_{2t}$  and  $\chi_x(K_{2t}) = t$ . Note that  $\chi_x(H) \leq \chi_x(G)$ . Clearly,  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t$  is a path partition of  $V(G)$  so that  $\chi_x(G) \leq t$ . Thus  $t \leq \chi_x(G) \leq t$  which implies  $\chi_x(G) = t$ . We are left to prove (ii).  $\bar{G}$  has at least  $t$  components which are complements of paths. The component of  $\bar{G}$  with maximum number of edges is the complement of a  $G_i$  with maximum order  $p$ , i.e.,  $G_t$ . Since  $G_t$  is a path, from Theorem 2 we know that  $\chi_x(\bar{G}_t) = \lceil p/4 \rceil$ , where  $p$  is the order of  $G_t$ . But the path chromatic number of a graph is the path chromatic number of its largest component. Therefore,

$$\chi_x(\bar{G}) = \lceil p/4 \rceil. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $p$ , then*

$$(i) \sqrt{p} \leq \chi_x(G) + \chi_x(\bar{G}) \leq p + 1;$$

$$(ii) p/4 \leq \chi_x(G)\chi_x(\bar{G}) \leq [(p + 1)/2]^2.$$

*Proof.* Theorem 7 and Lemma 5.4 in [2] imply the lower bounds of (i) and (ii). Theorem 6 implies the upper bounds since  $\chi_x(G) \leq \chi(G)$ .  $\square$

The lower bound  $\sqrt{p}$  for  $\chi_x(G) + \chi_x(\bar{G})$  is best possible. To see this, let  $G_i = P_{16}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and let  $\sum_{i=1}^4 G_i$ . By Proposition 5.1 in [2],  $\chi_x(G) = 4$  and  $\chi_x(\bar{G}) = \lceil 16/4 \rceil = 4$ . Thus,  $\chi_x(G) + \chi_x(\bar{G}) = 4 + 4 = 8 = \sqrt{16 \times 4} = \sqrt{p}$ . Note that  $\chi_x(G)\chi_x(\bar{G})$  is also the best possible.

The upper bound for Theorem 9(i) may be improved.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $p$ , then*

$$\chi_x(G) + \chi_x(\bar{G}) \leq p.$$

*Proof.* Case 1.  $G = K_p$ . From [1],  $\chi_x(G) = \lceil p/2 \rceil$  and  $\chi_x(\bar{G}) = 1$ . Therefore,

$$\chi_x(G) + \chi_x(\bar{G}) = \lfloor p/2 \rfloor + \lceil p/2 \rceil = p.$$

Case 2.  $G \neq K_p$ . This implies that  $G$  is a subgraph of  $K_p - e$ , where  $e$  is an edge of  $K_p$ . Thus  $\chi_\infty(G) \leq \chi_\infty(K_p - e) = \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$ . Also, since  $\overline{G}$  is of order  $p$ , then  $\chi_\infty(\overline{G}) \leq \lceil p/2 \rceil$ . Therefore,  $\chi_\infty(G) + \chi_\infty(\overline{G}) \leq \lfloor p/2 \rfloor + \lceil p/2 \rceil = p$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author thanks the referees for their useful suggestions. Also, the author would like to thank the editors for encoding this paper in the accepted format.

## References

- [1] J. Akiyama, H. Era and S. Gervacio, *Path chromatic numbers of graphs*, J. Graph Theory **13**(5) (1989) 569-575
- [2] E. B. Arugay, *Path chromatic numbers of graphs*, Ph.D. Thesis, Ateneo de Manila University, 1990
- [3] M. Matsumoto, *Bounds for the vertex linear arboricity*, J. Graph Theory **13** (1989) 690 - 699
- [4] J. Mitchem, *On the point arboricity of a graph and its complement*, Canad. J. Math. **23**(2) (1971) 287 - 292
- [5] A. Nordhaus and J. Gaddum, *On complementary graphs*, Amer. Math. Monthly **65** (1956), 176 - 176