B-Deoxyribonucleic Acid Energy Band Gap Semi-empirical Computer Simulation: Progress Report

ROLANDO V. BANTACULO, MARIS B. MUNOZ ERNIEP. GOOC, REYNALDO M. VEQUIZO ANGELINA M. BACALA, Ph.D.

Abstract

DNA-the carrier of genetic information in all living species and the building block of life, is often heralded as the cornerstone of a new generation of electronic devices because of its electronic properties. Its biological properties are largely becoming irrelevant because it is used as a basic material for nanostructures. The unique assembly properties of DNA together with its unparalleled recognition, optical characteristics, stability and adaptability suggest that DNA may become one of the most important species in the general area of molecular electronics. One existing medical application of DNA is the assembled microfabricated DNA chips which can be used to probe whether certain genetic codes are in a given specimen of DNA.

The DNA structure is ideal for electron transfer since some of the electron orbitals belonging to the bases overlap quite well with each other along the axis of the DNA. Due to the variety of DNA sequences, layouts, and conditions, physi cal mechanism responsible for conduction remains unclear In this study. the en ergy band gaps and relative energies of the CG and AT base pairs of a double helix structure of B-DNA with 2'-endo sugar form and four CG and AT B-DNA sequences are being calculated using semi-empirical geometry optimization/Polak-Ribiere algorithm, to investigate whether DNA behaves as an insulator; semicon ductor, or a superconductor, basing on the electron transfer theory. The calcu-

 \mathbb{Z} ROLANDO V. BANTACULO, MARIS B. MUNOZ, and REYNALDO VEOUIZO are faculty members of the Physics Department, College of Science and Mathematics. MSU. IIT. Ms. Munoz presented this paper during the 28th Annual Convention of Phil Society for Bio chemistry and Molecular Biology at UST on Dec. 3-4, 2001. ERNIE P. GOOC is currently pursuing a degree in MS Physics at MSU-IIT.

lated HOMO-LUMO difference signifies the energy band gap of the molecule. DNA consisting only of C and G bases is expected to conduct somewhat better than DNA with A and T bases or a poly (dG)-poly (dC) DNA is a large-gap semiconductor (i.e. an 'insulator). The calculations are being performed using HyperChem suite of programs and utilizing Paranetric Method Number 3 (PM3). The conputations are being caried out on a Windows 2000 workstation in a Pentium III PC.

Introduction

 $T\vert$ \blacktriangledown he electronic structure of DNA strands has received recently considerable attention due to the suggestion of the possibility that DNA may act as a molecular wire. Furthermore, increasing use is being made in nanotechnology of DNA strands as connectors and in the biochip world. IfDNA strands could be made with a switch to turn the current flow ing through them on and off, they could be used to build extremely tiny electrical devices. DNA strands might even be able to wire themselves to gether. Molecules at the end of DNA strands will link themselves to certain other molecules, .so it might be possible to create a wiring grid by laying down these target molecules as terminals and letting the DNA strands attach

themselves [1]. A lot of experiments have been made to investigate the electronic prop erties of DNA. Conductivity measurement were performed by Rakitin et. al. on 15 μ m long B-DNA bundled of \sim 10² molecules each in standard buffer at pH 7.5 doped across the electron gap. A semiconductor plateau (conductor gap) of about 200meV was observed for B-DNA of this type [2], exhibiting a narrow-band-gap semiconductor behavior. The experiment involved sub stitution of imino proton of cach base pair with a metal ion to alter the elec Lronic properties of the DNA.

However, experimental setups often proved to be hard to control for such sensitive compounds. A small variation in parameters might alter the whole experiment. A change in humidity for cxample, can change the crystallo graphic structure of B-DNA to the A form (3], if very high enough.

In this study, a computer simulation where parameters are more controlled is being carried out partially for double helix B-DNA. Energy band gaps and related energies are being calculated to investigate the electronic properties of the said DNA conformer.

Computational Details

The semi-empirical calculations were performed using HyperChemTM [4] and Spartan Pro [9] suites of quantum chemistry programs and utilizing Parametric Method Number 3 (PM3) and Austin Model 1 (AM1) respectively. The computations are being carried out on a Windows 2000 workstation in a Pentium III PC.

The energy band gap of B-DNA with 2'-endo sugar form and relative energies of four different CG and AT base pair sequences are being calcu lated using semi-empirical geometry optimization via Polak Ribiere algo rithm. B-DNA has been considered as a standard structure of DNA and its space-filling model as the most common form of DNA in cells (6]. It is characterized as a right-handed antiparallel double helix held together by Watson-Crick base pairs [5].

The *Austing Model* 1 (AM1) [8] was developed to modify the core-core function which had resulted to large activation energies. The Modified Ne glect of Diatomic Overlap (MNDO) was then reparameterized. The core core repulsion of AMI has the form:

$$
V_{nn}(A,B) = V_{nn}^{MINDO}(A,B) + \frac{Z_AZ_B}{R_{AB}} \left(\sum_k a_{kA} e^{-b_{kA}(R_{AB} - c_{kA})^2} + \sum_k a_{kB} e^{-b_{kB}(R_{AB} - c_{kB})^2} \right)
$$

where k is between 2 and 4 depending on the atom. It should be noted that the Gaussian functions more or less were added as patches onto the underly ing parameters, which explains why different number of Gaussians are used for each atom. As with the MNDO, the $G_{ss'}$, $G_{sp'}$, $G_{pp'}$, $G_{p2'}$, H_{sp} parameters are taken from atomic spectra, while the rest, including the a_k , b_k and c_k constants, are fitted to molecular data.

The parametrization of AM1 [8] by taking the G_{ss} , G_{sp} , G_{pp} , G_{pz} , H_{sp} parameters from atomic data and varying the rest until a satisfactory fit had been obtained. The AMI expression for the core-core repulsion (equation 1) was kept, except that only 2 Gaussians were assigned to each atom. These Gaussian parameters were included as an integral part of the model, and allowed to vary freely. The resulting method was denoted *Modified Neglect* of Diatomic Overlap, Parametric Method Number 3 (MNDO-PM3 or PM3

for short), and is essentially AM1 with all the parameters fully optimized.

The electronic energy [8] (including the core-core repulsion) calculated by AM1 and PM3 is, in analogy with that calculated by *ab initio* methods. the total energy relative to a situation where the nuclei (with their core elec trons) and their valence clectrons are infinitely separated. The electronic energy is normally converted to a heat of formation by subtracting the electronic energy of the isolated atoms which make up the system, and adding the experimental atomic heat of formation. The heat of formation is given by

$$
\Delta H_{f}(molecule) = E_{elec}(molecule) - \sum_{elec}^{atoms} E_{elec}(atoms) + \sum_{e}^{atoms} \Delta H_{f}(atoms)
$$

Since the two-electron integrals are optimized to give a better fit to the given molecular data set, the average errors of heat of formation and bond dis tances of PM3 are lesser than AM1. However, the electrostatic potential generated by a PM3 wave function is of lower quality than one generated by the AMI method.

Calculation of the energy band gap requires the energy of the Frontier Orbitals: HOMO (Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital) and LUMO (Low est Unoccupied Molecular Orbital), which are largely responsible for the chemical and spectroscopic properties of the molecules [7]. Basing from the Molecular Orbital Theory, calculation of the overall wavefunctiony of the molecule can be approximated using the sum of the atomic orbitals known as the Linear Combination of Atomic Orbitals (LCAO) [7]. The LCAO-MO provides the linear combination of all the HOMO's and LUMO's of all the atoms comprising the phosphates, sugars, and nucleobases of the B-DNA. The HOMO-LUMO [10] energy difference provides the energy band gaps which serves as the basis for conduction.

Results and Discussions

Figure l. Cytosine-Guanine Molecular Structure

Figure 1 shows the molecular structure of Cytosine-Guanine component of a double strand DNA.

Figure 2. Adenine-Thymine Molecular Structure

Figure 2 shows the molecular structure Adenine-Thymine component of a double strand DNA.

Figure 3. Poly[4] (dG)-poly[4] (dC) B-DNA

Figure 3 shows the helical structure of a poly(dG)-poly(dC) B-DNA con nected in four sequences.

Figure 4. Poly[4] (dT)-poly[4] (dA) B-DNA

Figure 4 shows the helical structure of a Poly-(dT)-poly-(dA) B-DNA connected in four sequences.

Figure 5. CG-CG-AT-AT B-DNA Oligomers

Figure 5 shows the helical structure of two CG and two AT B-DNA molecular structure.

Optimized Geometry for deoxycytosine (dC)

Energetic properties of deoxycytosine

dC Energy Band Gap: -8.72 eV

The region in green coresponds to the HOMO; the region in violet corre sponds to the LUMO.

Optimized Geometry for deoxyguanosine (dG)

Energetic properties of deoxyguanosine (dG)

dG Energy Band Gap: -8.28 eV

The region in green corresponds to the HOMO; the region in violet corre sponds to the LUMO.

Optimized Geometry for deoxyadenosine (dA),

Energetic properties of deoxyguanosine (dA)

dA Energy Band Gap: -8,40 eV

The region in green corresponds to the HOMO; the region in violet corresponds to the LUMO.

Optimized Geometry for (deoxy)thymidine (dT)

dT Energy Band Gap: -9.02 el

The region in green corresponds to the HOMO; the region in violet come sponds to the LUMO.

Acknowledgements

For fruitful discussions at different stages of their work the authors wish to express their gratitude to Dr. Myna S. Mahinay and Dr. Remegio G Tee.

The authors would like to thank Thabet-Abbas C. Burias and Prof. Marvin Jose F. Fernandez for the software and hardware installation and they acknowledge the Computational Physics Research Laboratory and Computational Chemistry Laboratory for providing the computational tools for this work.

References

- [1] http://www.waveguide.org/archives/emfl/Mar1999/ DNAelecricalelectronicproperties.html
- [2] A. Rakitin, P. Aich, C. Papadopoulos, Y. Kobzar, A.S. Vedeneev, J. S. Lee, J.M. Xu, "Metallic Conduction Through Engineered DNA: DNA Nanoelectronic Building Blocks,:" Physical Review Letters, Vol. 86. No. 16, 16 April 2001, © The American Physical Society.
- [3] D.P. Snustad, M. J. Simmons, Principles of Genetics, Copyright © 2000 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- [4] HyperChem TMRelease'. Windows Molecular Modelling System, © 1993, HyperCube, Inc. and Autodesk, Inc.Developed by HyperCube, Inc.
- [5] K.E. van Holde, W. Curtis Johnson, P. Shing Ho, Principles of Biochemistry, Copyright © 1998 Printice Hall Inc., Simon & Schuster/ A Viacom Company, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458.
- [6] H. Lodish, A. Berk, S. L. Zipursky, P. Matsudaira, D. Baltimore, J. Darnell, Molecular Cell Biology, Copyright © 2000 W. H. Freeman & Co.
- [7] P. W. Atkins, *Physical Chemistry*, 5th ed. © 1994 Oxford University Press, Walton Street, Oxfort OX2 6DP.
- [8] F. Jensen, Introduction to Computational Chemistry, Copyright © 1999 John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Baffins Lane, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 IUD, England.
- [9] SPARTAN Pro version 7.0; Wavefunction, Inc.: 18401 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 370, Irvine, CA 92612.