#### Volume 3 Issue 2 October 2012 The MINDANAWAN Journal of Mathematics ISSN 2094-7380



### Equi-integrability in the Harnack Extension

Ferdinand P. Jamil, Julius V. Benitez<sup>5</sup> *Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Mathematics, MSU-Iligan Institute of Technology, 9200 Iligan City* julius.benitez@g.msuiit.edu.ph

Abstract: In this note, we provide an alternative proof of the Harnack Extension by means of equi-integrability.

Keywords/Phrases: Henstock integral, equi-integrable, uniformly gauge Cauchy, Harnack Extension

# 1 Introduction

In the classical theory of Denjoy-Perron integral, it contains basically one proof, the proof by category argument. See for example, Lee [3, p.47] and Saks [7, p.253]. In fact, when Denjoy first defined his integral, which is known to be equivalent to the Henstock integral, he used transfinite induction to extend the Lebesgue integral by means of Cauchy and Harnack extensions [7]. In [5], Lee also extends the Harnack extension for the Henstock integral on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so that it is real-line independent.

Using the concept of equi-integrability, we provide an alternative means to prove the Harnack Extension for the Henstock integral on the real line.

The MINDANAWAN Journal of Mathematics

<sup>5</sup>Research is partially supported by the Commission on Higher Education (CHEd), Philippines.

### 2 Preliminary Results

**Definition 2.1** A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *Henstock integrable to a real number A on* [*a, b*] if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $\delta : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for any Henstock  $\delta$ -fine division  $D = \{([u, v], \xi)\}\$  of [a, b], we have

$$
\left| (D) \sum f(\xi)(v-u) - A \right| < \epsilon.
$$

If  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is Henstock integrable to *A* on [a, b], then we write

$$
A = (\mathcal{H}) \int_a^b f.
$$

By a Henstock  $\delta$ -fine division  $D = \{([u, v]; \xi)\}\$  of  $[a, b]$  we mean that  $\xi \in [u, v] \subset (\xi - \delta(\xi), \xi + \delta(\xi))$ , for all  $([u, v]; \xi) \in$ *D*.

**Lemma 2.2 (Henstock's Lemma)** *If*  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  *is Henstock integrable on* [*a, b*] *with primitive F, then for each*  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$
(D)\sum |f(\xi)(v-u) - F(v) + F(u)| < \epsilon
$$

*for any Henstock*  $\delta$ -*fine partial division*  $D = \{([u, v], \xi)\}\$  *of* [*a, b*]*.*

**Definition 2.3** [2] A sequence  $\langle f_n \rangle$  of Henstock integrable functions on [a, b] is equi-integrable on [a, b] if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta(\xi) > 0$  such that for each *n*, we have

$$
\left| (D) \sum f_n(\xi)(v-u) - (\mathcal{H}) \int_a^b f_n \right| < \epsilon,
$$

whenever  $D = \{([u, v], \xi)\}\$ is a Henstock  $\delta$ -fine division of [*a, b*].

Volume 3 Issue 2 October 2012

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $\langle f_n \rangle$  be a sequence of Henstock integrable *functions on* [a, b]. If  $\langle f_n \rangle$  *is equi-integrable on* [a, b] *and* 

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)
$$

*for each*  $x \in [a, b]$ *, then*  $f$  *is Henstock integrable and* 

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} (\mathcal{H}) \int_a^b f_n = (\mathcal{H}) \int_a^b f.
$$

**Definition 2.5** Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . The function  $\mathbf{1}_X : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ define by

$$
\mathbf{1}_X(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in X, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin X. \end{cases}
$$

is called the *characteristic function* on *X*.

**Definition 2.6** Let  $X \subseteq [a, b]$ . We say that *f is Henstock integrable on X* if the function  $f \cdot \mathbf{1}_X$  is Henstock integrable on [*a, b*] and we write

$$
(\mathcal{H})\int_X f = (\mathcal{H})\int_a^b (f \cdot \mathbf{1}_X).
$$

**Definition 2.7** [8] A sequence  $\langle f_n \rangle$  of Henstock integrable functions on [*a, b*] is said to be *uniformly gauge Cauchy* on [a, b] if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a gauge  $\delta(\xi) > 0$  and a positive integer *N* such that for each  $n, m \geq N$ , we have

$$
\left| (D) \sum f_n(\xi)(v-u) - (D) \sum f_m(\xi)(v-u) \right| < \epsilon
$$

whenever  $D = \{([u, v], \xi)\}\$ is a Henstock  $\delta$ -fine division of [*a, b*].

**Theorem 2.8** [8] Let  $\langle f_n \rangle$  be a sequence of Henstock inte*grable functions on* [*a, b*]*. The following are equivalent:*

The MINDANAWAN Journal of Mathematics

 $(i) \langle f_n \rangle$  *is uniformly gauge Cauchy.* 

(ii) 
$$
\left\langle (\mathcal{H}) \int_a^b f_n \right\rangle
$$
 converges and  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is equi-integrable on   
 [a, b].

**Definition 2.9** Let  $F : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function and  $[c, d] \subseteq$ [a, b]. The *oscillation of* F *over* [c, d], denoted by  $\omega(F; [c, d])$ , is given by

$$
\omega(F;[c,d])=\sup\big\{|F(y)-F(x)|:c\le x
$$

Geometrically, the oscillation of a function *F* over [*c, d*] is just the "*diameter*" of the image set  $F([c, d])$ .

### 3 Results

Here, we give a version of the Harnack Extension and provide an alternative proof of it. First, we prove the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Suppose the *following conditions hold:*

- (*i*) *there exists an increasing sequence*  $\langle X_n \rangle$  *of non-empty subsets of* [a, b] *such that*  $[a, b] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  *and f is Henstock integrable on each X<sup>n</sup> and*
- (*ii*) for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer N such *that for all*  $n \geq N$ *, there exists*  $\delta_n(\xi) > 0$  *on* [*a, b*] *such that for all Henstock*  $\delta_n$ -fine partial division  $P =$  $\{( [u, v], \xi) \}$  *of*  $[a, b]$  *with*  $\xi \notin X_n$ *, we have*

$$
\left| (P) \sum f(\xi)(v-u) \right| < \epsilon.
$$

Volume 3 Issue 2 October 2012

*Then the sequence*  $\langle f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{X_n} \rangle$  *is equi-integrable on* [*a, b*] *and the sequence*  $\Big( (\mathcal{H})$  $\int^b$  $\int_a^b (f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{X_n})$  $\setminus$ *converges.*

*Proof*: For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_n = f \cdot 1_{X_n}$ . We will show that  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is uniformly gauge Cauchy on [*a, b*]. Now, let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a positive integer N such that for all  $n \geq N$ , there exists  $\delta_n(\xi) > 0$  on [a, b] such that for all Henstock  $\delta_n$ -fine partial division  $P = \{([u, v], \xi)\}\$  of  $[a, b]$ with  $\xi \notin X_n$ , we have

$$
\left| (P) \sum f(\xi)(v-u) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
$$

Define  $\delta(\xi) = \delta_N(\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in [a, b]$ . Let  $n > m \geq N$ and

 $D = \{([u, v], \xi)\}\$ be any Henstock  $\delta$ -fine division of  $[a, b].$ Let  $P_1 \subseteq D$  and  $P_2 \subseteq D$  such that  $\xi \in X_N$  and  $\xi \notin X_N$ , respectively. Because  $\langle X_n \rangle$  is increasing, we note that if  $\xi \in X_N$ , then  $f_n(\xi) - f_m(\xi) = 0$ ; and if  $\xi \notin X_N$ , then

$$
f_n(\xi) - f_m(\xi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \xi \notin X_n; \\ -f(\xi), & \text{if } \xi \in X_m \setminus X_N; \\ f(\xi), & \text{if } \xi \in X_n \setminus X_m. \end{cases}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left| (D) \sum f_n(\xi)(v-u) - (D) \sum f_m(\xi)(v-u) \right|
$$
  
= 
$$
\left| (D) \sum [f_n(\xi) - f_m(\xi)](v-u) \right|
$$
  

$$
\leq \left| (P_1) \sum [f_n(\xi) - f_m(\xi)](v-u) \right|
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left| (P_2) \sum [f_n(\xi) - f_m(\xi)](v-u) \right|
$$

The MINDANAWAN Journal of Mathematics

$$
= | (P_2) \sum [f_n(\xi) - f_m(\xi)](v - u) |
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq | (P_2) \sum_{\xi \in X_m \cup X_N} [-f(\xi)](v - u) |
$$
  
\n
$$
+ | (P_2) \sum_{\xi \in X_n \cup X_m} f(\xi)(v - u) |
$$
  
\n
$$
= | (P_2) \sum_{\xi \in X_m \cup X_N} f(\xi)(v - u) |
$$
  
\n
$$
+ | (P_2) \sum_{\xi \in X_n \cup X_m} f(\xi)(v - u) |
$$
  
\n
$$
< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \epsilon.
$$

This shows that  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is uniformly gauge Cauchy. Hence, by Theorem 2.8,  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is equi-integrable on  $[a, b]$  and  $\Big\langle (\mathcal{H})$  $\int^b$ *a fn*  $\setminus$ converges.

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Suppose *that there exists an increasing sequence*  $\langle X_n \rangle$  *of non-empty subsets of*  $[a, b]$  *such that*  $[a, b] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  *and f is Henstock integrable on each*  $X_n$ *. If for every*  $\epsilon > 0$ *, there exists a positive integer N such that for all*  $n \geq N$ *, there exists*  $\delta_n(\xi) > 0$  *on* [*a, b*] *such that for all Henstock*  $\delta_n$ -fine partial *division*  $P = \{([u, v], \xi)\}\$  *of*  $[a, b]$  *with*  $\xi \notin X_n$ *, we have* 

$$
\left| (P) \sum f(\xi)(v-u) \right| < \epsilon,
$$

*then the sequence*  $\langle f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{X_n} \rangle$  *is equi-integrable on* [*a, b*] *and f is Henstock integrable on* [*a, b*]*. Moreover,*

$$
(\mathcal{H})\int_a^b f=\lim_{n\to\infty}(\mathcal{H})\int_a^b (f\cdot \mathbf{1}_{X_n}).
$$

Volume 3 Issue 2 October 2012

*Proof*: For each *n*, let  $f_n = f \cdot 1_{X_n}$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is equi-integrable on  $[a, b]$  and  $\Big\langle (\mathcal{H})$  $\int^b$ *a fn*  $\setminus$ converges.

Now, let  $\epsilon > 0$ . For all  $x \in [a, b]$ , there exists a positive integer  $N(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in X_{N(x)}$ . Since  $\langle X_n \rangle$  is increasing,  $x \in X_n$  for all  $n \ge N(x)$ . Thus, for all  $n \ge N(x)$ 

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\left|f_n(x) - f(x)\right| & = & \left| (f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{X_n})(x) - f(x) \right| \\
& = & \left| f(x) - f(x) \right| \\
&< & \epsilon.\n\end{array}
$$

This implies that  $f_n \to f$  pointwisely on [a, b]. Hence, by Theorem 2.4, *f* is Henstock integrable on [*a, b*] and

$$
(\mathcal{H})\int_a^b f = \lim_{n\to\infty} (\mathcal{H})\int_a^b f_n.
$$

We now state and prove the Harnack Extension.

**Theorem 3.3 (Harnack Extension)** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *and X be a closed subset of* [*a, b*]*. Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:*

- $(i)$   $(a, b) \setminus X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_k, d_k)$ , where  $\{(c_k, d_k)\}$  is a collec*tion of pairwise disjoint open intervals and*
- (*ii*)  $f$  *is Henstock integrable on*  $X$  *and on each*  $[c_k, d_k]$  *with*

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(F_k; [c_k, d_k]) < \infty,
$$

*where*  $F_k$  *denotes the primitive of*  $f$  *on*  $[c_k, d_k]$ *.* 

*For each*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *, let*  $X_n = X \cup (\bigcup_{k=1}^n (c_k, d_k))$  and  $f_n =$  $f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{X_n}$ . Then  $\langle f_n \rangle$  *is equi-integrable and*  $f$  *is Henstock integrable on* [*a, b*]*. In this case,*

$$
(\mathcal{H})\int_a^b f = \lim_{n\to\infty} (\mathcal{H})\int_a^b f_n = (\mathcal{H})\int_X f + \sum_{k=1}^\infty F_k(c_k, d_k).
$$

The MINDANAWAN Journal of Mathematics

*Proof*: We assume that  $a, b \in X$ . Then

$$
[a, b] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = X \cup (c_1, d_1) \cup (c_2, d_2) \cup (c_3, d_3) \cup \cdots
$$

and *f* is Henstock integrable on each *Xn*. Since *X* and  $(c_k, d_k)$  are pairwise disjoint, we have

$$
(\mathcal{H})\int_a^b f_n = (\mathcal{H})\int_X f + \sum_{k=1}^n F_k(c_k, d_k) \text{ , for all } n.
$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By Henstock Lemma (Theorem 2.2), there exists  $\delta_k(\xi) > 0$  on [*a, b*] such that whenever  $D = \{([u, v], \xi)\}\$ is a Henstock  $\delta_k$ -fine division of  $[a, b]$ , we have

$$
(D)\sum |(f\cdot \mathbf{1}_{[c_k,d_k]})(\xi)(v-u)-F_k(u,v)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{k+1}}, \text{ for each } k.
$$

Since each  $(c_k, d_k)$  is open, we may assume that

$$
(\xi - \delta_k(\xi), \xi + \delta_k(\xi)) \subseteq (c_k, d_k)
$$

if  $\xi \in (c_k, d_k)$ . Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}$ *k*=1  $\omega(F_k; [c_k, d_k]) < \infty$ , there exists a positive integer *N* such that

$$
\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \omega(F_k; [c_k, d_k]) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
$$

Note that because *X* and  $(c_k, d_k)$  are pairwise disjoint, we have

$$
\xi \notin X_n = X \cup (c_1, d_1) \cup (c_2, d_2) \cup \cdots \cup (c_n, d_n)
$$

$$
\iff \xi \in \bigcup_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (c_k, d_k).
$$

Thus, for all  $n \geq N$  and for any Henstock  $\delta_n$ -fine partial division  $P = \{([u, v], \xi)\}\$  of  $[a, b]$  with  $\xi \notin X_n$ , we have

Volume 3 Issue 2 October 2012

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left| (P) \sum f(\xi)(v-u) \right| \\
&= \left| (P) \sum_{\xi \in \bigcup_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (c_k, d_k)} f(\xi)(v-u) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left[ (P) \sum_{\xi \in (c_k, d_k)} \left| (f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[c_k, d_k]}) (\xi)(v-u) - F_k(u, v) \right| \right] \\
&+ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left[ (P) \sum_{\xi \in (c_k, d_k)} \left| F_k(u, v) \right| \right] \\
&< \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2^{k+1}} + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \omega(F_k; [c_k, d_k]) \\
&< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
&= \epsilon.\n\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.2, then the sequence  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is equi-integrable on [*a, b*] and *f* is Henstock integrable on [*a, b*]. Moreover,

$$
(\mathcal{H})\int_a^b f = \lim_{n\to\infty} (\mathcal{H})\int_a^b f_n = (\mathcal{H})\int_X f + \sum_{k=1}^\infty F_k(c_k, d_k). \ \ \Box
$$

## References

- [1] Chew, Tuan Seng, *The Riemann-type Integral that Includes Lebesgue-Stieltjes and Stochastic Integrals*, Lecture Note, Chulalongkorn University, 2004.
- [2] Kurzweil, J., *Nichtalsolut Konvergente Integrale*, Teubner, Leipzig 1980.
- [3] Lee, Peng Yee, *Lanzhou Lectures on Henstock Integration*, World Scientific, 1989.

The MINDANAWAN Journal of Mathematics

- [4] Lee, Peng Yee and Yyborny, R., *The integral: an easy approach after Kurzweil and Henstock*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [5] Lee, Peng Yee, *Harnack Extension for the Henstock Integral in the Euclidean Space*, J. Math. Study, 27 (1)  $(1994)$ , 5-8.
- [6] Royden, H.L., *Real Analysis* 3*e*, Macmillan Publishing Company, 1989.
- [7] S. Saks, *Theory of the Integral*, 2nd ed, Warsaw, 1937.
- [8] Swartz, Charles, *Introduction to Gauge Integrals*, World Scientific, 2001.
- [9] Yang Chien Hwa, *Measure Theory and the Henstock integral*, Academic Exercise, National University of Singapore, Singapore, 1996-97.

Volume 3 Issue 2 October 2012