TABLE OF CONTENTS

TAB	BLE OF CONTENTS	iii
PRE	FACE	vii
FULI		
	Are Unschooled Indigenous People Schooled in Mathematics?	2
	ı v	11
	Weighted Improved Hardy-Sobolev Inequality on a Ball Domain	19
		29
	Domination in the K_r - gluing of Complete Graphs and Join of Graphs	38
	Global Offensive Alliances in Some Special Classes of Graphs	43
		49
		54
	Roman Domination Number of the Join and Corona of Graphs	66
	The Relationship of BF-algebras and Groups	70
	Students Misconceptions in College Algebra	76
PLEI	NARY TALKS	81
	Plenary Talk 1 Dr. Fe Annabel N. Yebron Department of Mathematics, Central Mindanao University, Musuan, Bukidnon "Are Unschooled Indigenous People Schooled in Mathematics?"	82

Weighted Improved Hardy-Sobolev Inequality on a Ball Domain

Alnar L. Detalla

Department of Mathematics, Central Mindanao University, Musuan, 8710 Bukidnon, Philippines e-mail: al_detalla@yahoo.com

Abstract: Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with $0 \in \Omega$ and $n \geq 2$. We consider the Hardy-Sobolev inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \ge \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x)^2}{|x|^2} dx \tag{0.1}$$

for any $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. When no weight function is involved, the improvement of (0.1) is already proven. In this paper¹, we shall investigate the weighted type of the improvement of (0.1) in a ball domain.

1 Introduction

The study of the minimal and the extremal solutions of the quasilinear elliptic equations gains much attention in the recent years because of its applications in Magnetic and Potential Theory. Under some conditions, the analysis will start on the establishment of the existence of the minimal and the extremal solutions and then study their behaviors in the linearized quasilinear elliptic equations. To analyze the linearized equation at extremal solution, the classical Hardy-Sobolev inequality in not enough since it has only singularity at the origin. Hence we have to essentially improve the classical result by having a weight on both sides of the inequality. The study will focus on improving the classical result of A.L. Detalla [4] by having a weight function $|x|^{\alpha}$ on both sides of the equation where the domain is a ball centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of radius ρ and this is denoted by $B_{\rho}(x)$ where $B_{\rho}(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

2 Known Results

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with $0 \in \Omega$ and $n \geq 2$. For 1 the well known Hardy-Sobolev inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \ge \left(\frac{n-p}{p}\right)^p \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x)^p}{|x|^p} dx. \tag{2.1}$$

holds for $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in the norm

$$||u||_{1,p,\Omega} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

An improvement of inequality (2.1) involving one remainder term in the right hand side was proven by Adimurthi, Chaudhuri, and Ramaswamy [1] and this is given by the following results:

¹2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35J70; Secondary 35J60. Key words and phrases. Hardy-Sobolev inequality, eigenvalue.

1. Noncritical case $(1 : Let <math>R \ge \sup_{\Omega} \left(|x| e^{\frac{2}{p}} \right)$. Then there exist K > 0 depending on n, p, and R such that for any $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \ge \left(\frac{n-p}{p}\right)^p \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^p} dx + K \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^p} \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^{-\gamma} dx \tag{2.2}$$

where $\gamma \geq 2$.

2. Critical case (p=n): Let $R \ge \sup_{\Omega} \left(|x| e^{\frac{2}{n}} \right)$. Then for any $u \in W_0^{1,n}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^n dx \ge \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^n}{|x|^n} \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^{-n} dx \tag{2.3}$$

For p=2 an optimal improvement of the Hardy-Sobolev inequality with infinitely many terms was proven by Detalla, Horiuchi, and Ando [4] and is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \ge \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x)^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x)^2}{|x|^2} \left[A_1(|x|)^{-2} + \left(A_1(|x|) A_2(|x|) \right)^{-2} + \dots + \left(A_1(|x|) A_2(|x|) \dots A_k(|x|) \right)^{-2} \right] dx.$$
(2.4)

for any $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ where $A_1(|x|) = \log \frac{R}{|x|}$ and $A_k(|x|) = \log A_{k-1}(|x|)$. Here $R \ge e_k \sup_{\Omega} |x|$ and $e_1 = e$, $e_k = e^{e_{k-1}}$.

This study aims improve this classical result by having a weight on both sides of inequality (2.4) on a ball domain.

3 Result and Discussion

In this section we will introduce our result about the weighted type of inequality (2.4). The main results are as follows:

Theorem 3.1 Let n, α and k be a positive integers and a ball $B_{\rho} \subset \Omega$ such that n > 2, $k \ge 1$ and $R \ge e_k \sup_{\Omega} |x|$. Then the inequality

$$\int_{B_{\rho}} |\nabla u(x)|^{2} |x|^{\alpha} dx \ge \frac{(n-2)^{2} + 2\alpha(n-2)}{4} \int_{B_{\rho}} u(x)^{2} |x|^{\alpha-2} dx
+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_{\rho}} u(x)^{2} |x|^{\alpha-2} \left[A_{1}(|x|)^{-2} + \left(A_{1}(|x|) A_{2}(|x|) \right)^{-2} + \dots \right]
+ \left(A_{1}(|x|) A_{2}(|x|) \dots A_{k}(|x|) \right)^{-2} dx.$$
(3.1)

holds for any $u \in W_0^{1,2}(B_\rho)$.

Remark 3.1 If $\alpha = 0$ inequality (3.1) reduces to a known result given by inequality (2.4).

First we introduce the following lemma needed in the proof of the main result.

Lemma 3.1 Assume $u \in C_0^2(B_1)$ is radial satisfying u(r) > 0 where r = |x|. Set $v_1(r) = u(r)r^{\frac{n-2}{2}}A_1(r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $v_k(r) = v_{k-1}(r)A_k(r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $k \ge 2$. If $R \ge e_k$, then for any integer $\eta > 0$

$$\int_{B_{1}} |\nabla (u(x)|x|^{\eta})|^{2} dx = \frac{(n-2)^{2} - (2\eta)^{2}}{4} \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) r^{2\eta-1} dr
+ \frac{\omega_{n}}{4} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \left[A_{1}(r)^{-2} + \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \right)^{-2} + \dots \right]
+ \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \right)^{-2} r^{2\eta-1} dr
+ \eta \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} \left[A_{2}(r) A_{3}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) + A_{3}(r) A_{4}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) + \dots \right]
+ A_{k}(r) + 1 r^{2\eta-1} dr
+ \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v'_{k}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) r^{2\eta+1} dr.$$
(3.2)

for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof Since $R \geq e_k$, A_i is define for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $u_{\eta} = u(r)r^{\eta}$. Then

$$u_n = v_k(r)r^{\frac{2-n}{2}+\eta} (A_1(r)A_2(r)\dots A_k(r))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Direct calculation gives

$$|u'_{\eta}|^2 = \left(\frac{n-2-2\eta}{2}\right)^2 v_k(r)^2 r^{-n+2\eta} A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \left|1+C\right|^2,$$

where

$$C = \frac{2}{n-2-2\eta} \left[\frac{1}{2} A_1(r)^{-1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \left(A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \right)^{-1} - \frac{v_k'(r)}{v_k(r)} r \right].$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_1} |\nabla \left(u(x)|x|^{\eta}\right)|^2 dx &= \omega_n \int_0^1 |u_\eta'|^2 r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \left(\frac{n-2-2\eta}{2}\right)^2 \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \left|1+C\right|^2 r^{2\eta-1} dr \\ &= \left(\frac{n-2-2\eta}{2}\right)^2 \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \left(1+2C+C^2\right) r^{2\eta-1} dr \\ &= \left(\frac{n-2-2\eta}{2}\right)^2 \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) r^{2\eta-1} dr \\ &+ (n-2-2\eta) \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \left[\frac{1}{2}A_1(r)^{-1} + \dots \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(A_1(r)A_2(r) \dots A_k(r)\right)^{-1} - \frac{v_k'(r)}{v_k(r)} r \right] r^{2\eta-1} dr \\ &+ \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \left[\frac{1}{2}A_1(r)^{-1} + \dots \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(A_1(r)A_2(r) \dots A_k(r)\right)^{-1} - \frac{v_k'(r)}{v_k(r)} r \right]^2 r^{2\eta-1} dr \\ &= \left(\frac{n-2-2\eta}{2}\right)^2 \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) r^{2\eta-1} dr \\ &+ \left(\frac{n-2-2\eta}{2}\right) \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \left[\frac{1}{2}A_1(r)^{-1} + \dots \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(A_1(r)A_2(r) \dots A_k(r)\right)^{-1} \right] r^{2\eta-1} dr \\ &+ \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \left[\frac{1}{2}A_1(r)^{-1} + \dots \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(A_1(r)A_2(r) \dots A_k(r)\right)^{-1} - \frac{v_k'(r)}{v_k(r)} r \right|^2 r^{2\eta-1} dr. \end{split}$$

Applying integration by parts to second term of (3.3) we get

$$\int_{B_1} |\nabla (u(x)|x|^{\eta})|^2 dx = \frac{(n-2)^2 - (2\eta)^2}{4} \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) r^{2\eta - 1} dr
+ \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \left[\frac{1}{2} A_1(r)^{-1} + \dots \right]
+ \frac{1}{2} \left(A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \right)^{-1} - \frac{v_k'(r)}{v_k(r)} r^{-1} r^{2\eta - 1} dr.$$
(3.4)

Also after expanding the second term of (3.4) and by integration by parts we get (3.2). By inductive argument we will show the validity of (3.2) for all $k \ge 1$. For k = 1, it is easy to verify

by similar calculation that

$$\int_{B_1} |\nabla (u(x)|x|^{\eta})|^2 dx = \frac{(n-2)^2 - (2\eta)^2}{4} \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) r^{2\eta - 1} dr
+ \frac{\omega_n}{4} \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r)^{-1} r^{2\eta - 1} dr + \eta \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 r^{2\eta - 1} dr
+ \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k'(r)^2 A_1(r) r^{2\eta + 1} dr$$
(3.5)

Since $v_{k+1}(r) = v_k(r)A_{k+1}(r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, direct calculation gives

$$v'_{k}(r)^{2} = v'_{k+1}(r)^{2} A_{k+1}(r) - \frac{1}{r} v_{k+1}(r) v'_{k+1}(r) \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \right)^{-1} + \frac{1}{4r^{2}} v_{k+1}(r)^{2} \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \right)^{-2} A_{k+1}(r)^{-1}.$$

Then the last term in the right hand side of (3.2) becomes

$$\omega_n \int_0^1 v_k'(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) r^{2\eta+1} dr
= \omega_n \int_0^1 v_{k+1}'(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) A_{k+1}(r) r^{2\eta+1} dr + \eta \omega_n \int_0^1 v_{k+1}(r)^2 r^{2\eta-1} dr
+ \frac{\omega_n}{4} \int_0^1 v_{k+1}(r)^2 \left(A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) A_{k+1}(r) \right)^{-1} r^{2\eta-1} dr.$$
(3.6)

Hence the last term in the right hand side of (3.2) generates the new terms such that

$$\int_{B_{1}} |\nabla (u(x)|x|^{\eta})|^{2} dx = \frac{(n-2)^{2} - (2\eta)^{2}}{4} \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k+1}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k+1}(r) r^{2\eta-1} dr
+ \frac{\omega_{n}}{4} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k+1}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k+1}(r) \left[A_{1}(r)^{-2} + \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \right)^{-2} + \dots \right]
+ \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \right)^{-2} + \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) A_{k+1}(r) \right)^{-2} r^{2\eta-1} dr
+ \eta \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k+1}(r)^{2} \left[A_{2}(r) A_{3}(r) \dots A_{k+1}(r) + A_{3}(r) A_{4}(r) \dots A_{k+1}(r) + \dots \right]
+ A_{k}(r) A_{k+1}(r) + A_{k+1}(r) + 1 r^{2\eta-1} dr$$

$$+ \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v'_{k+1}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) A_{k+1}(r) r^{2\eta+1} dr$$

$$(3.7)$$

Therefore (3.2) is valid for all $k \geq 1$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1: We shall first prove inequality (3.1) for smooth positive radially nonincreasing function defined on a unit ball B_1 , centered at the origin. Then $R \ge e_k$ and for $u \in C_0^2(B_1)$, u(r) > 0, r = |x|, radially nonincreasing, we set $v_1(r) = u(r)r^{\frac{n-2}{2}}A_1(r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

and $v_k(r) = v_{k-1}(r)A_k(r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $k \geq 2$. Since $R \geq e_k$, A_i is define for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then direct calculation gives

$$u(r)u'(r) = v_k(r)v'_k(r)r^{2-n}A_1(r)\dots A_k(r) + \frac{2-n}{2}v_k(r)^2r^{1-n}A_1(r)\dots A_k(r) - \frac{1}{2}v_k(r)^2r^{1-n}A_2(r)\dots A_k(r) - \frac{1}{2}v_k(r)^2r^{1-n}A_3(r)\dots A_k(r) - \dots$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}v_k(r)^2r^{1-n}.$$
(3.8)

Then for any integer $\eta > 0$ we have

$$2\eta\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} u(r)u'(r)r^{2\eta+n-2}dr = \eta\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} (v_{k}(r)^{2})' A_{1}(r) \dots A_{k}(r)r^{2\eta}dr$$

$$+ (2-n)\eta\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) \dots A_{k}(r)r^{2\eta-1}dr$$

$$- \eta\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r)r^{2\eta-1}dr$$

$$- \eta\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} A_{3}(r) \dots A_{k}(r)r^{2\eta-1}dr$$

$$- \eta\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} r^{2\eta-1}dr$$

$$- \eta\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} r^{2\eta-1}dr$$

$$(3.9)$$

applying integration by parts in the first term of the right hand side of (3.9) we get

$$2\eta\omega_n \int_0^1 u(r)u'(r)r^{2\eta+n-2}dr = -\left[\eta(n-2) + 2\eta^2\right]\omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) \dots A_k(r)r^{2\eta-1}dr.$$
(3.10)

Also

$$\eta^2 \omega_n \int_0^1 u(r)^2 r^{2\eta + n - 3} dr = \eta^2 \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) \dots A_k(r) r^{2\eta - 1} dr.$$
 (3.11)

Hence from (3.10) and (3.11) we have

$$2\eta\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} u(r)u'(r)r^{2\eta+n-2}dr + \eta^{2}\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} u(r)^{2}r^{2\eta+n-3}dr = -\left[\eta(n-2) + \eta^{2}\right]\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2}A_{1}(r)\dots A_{k}(r)r^{2\eta-1}dr.$$
(3.12)

From Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} |(u(r)r^{\eta})'|^{2} r^{n-1} dr = \frac{(n-2)^{2} - (2\eta)^{2}}{4} \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) r^{2\eta-1} dr
+ \frac{\omega_{n}}{4} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \left[A_{1}(r)^{-2} + \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \right)^{-2} + \dots \right]
+ \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \right)^{-2} r^{2\eta-1} dr
+ \eta \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} \left[A_{2}(r) A_{3}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) + A_{3}(r) A_{4}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) + \dots \right]
+ A_{k}(r) + 1 r^{2\eta-1} dr$$

$$+ \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}'(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) r^{2\eta+1} dr$$
(3.13)

but

$$\omega_n \int_0^1 |(u(r)r^{\eta})'|^2 r^{n-1} dr = \omega_n \int_0^1 |u'(r)r^{\eta} + \eta u(r)r^{\eta-1}|^2 r^{n-1} dr$$

$$= \omega_n \int_0^1 |u'(r)|^2 r^{2\eta+n-1} dr$$

$$+ 2\eta \omega_n \int_0^1 u(r)u'(r)r^{2\eta+n-2} dr$$

$$+ \eta^2 \omega_n \int_0^1 u(r)^2 r^{2\eta+n-3} dr$$

hence

$$\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} |u'(r)|^{2} r^{2\eta+n-1} dr = \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} |(u(r)r^{\eta})'|^{2} r^{n-1} dr$$

$$-2\eta \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} u(r)u'(r)r^{2\eta+n-2} dr$$

$$-\eta^{2} \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} u(r)^{2} r^{2\eta+n-3} dr$$
(3.14)

substituting equations (3.11),(3.12) and (3.13) to equation (3.14) and by letting $\alpha = 2\eta$ we get

$$\omega_n \int_0^1 |u'(r)|^2 r^{\alpha+n-1} dr = \frac{(n-2)^2 + 2\alpha(n-2)}{4} \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) \dots A_k(r) r^{\alpha-1} dr$$

$$+ \frac{\omega_n}{4} \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \left[A_1(r)^{-2} + \left(A_1(r) A_2(r) \right)^{-2} + \dots \right]$$

$$+ \left(A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \right)^{-2} r^{\alpha-1} dr$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha \omega_n}{2} \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 \left[A_2(r) A_3(r) \dots A_k(r) + A_3(r) A_4(r) \dots A_k(r) + \dots \right]$$

$$+ A_k(r) + 1 r^{\alpha-1} dr$$

$$+ \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k'(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) r^{\alpha+1} dr.$$

Since $\int_{B_1} |\nabla (u(x))|^2 |x|^{\alpha} dx = \omega_n \int_0^1 |u'(r)|^2 r^{\alpha+n-1} dr$ then

$$\int_{B_{1}} |\nabla (u(x))|^{2} |x|^{\alpha} dx = \frac{(n-2)^{2} + 2\alpha(n-2)}{4} \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) r^{\alpha-1} dr
+ \frac{\omega_{n}}{4} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \left[A_{1}(r)^{-2} + \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \right)^{-2} + \dots \right]
+ \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \right)^{-2} r^{\alpha-1} dr
+ \frac{\alpha \omega_{n}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}(r)^{2} \left[A_{2}(r) A_{3}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) + A_{3}(r) A_{4}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) + \dots \right]
+ A_{k}(r) + 1 r^{\alpha-1} dr$$

$$+ \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k}'(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) r^{\alpha+1} dr$$

$$(3.15)$$

By inductive argument we will show the validity of (3.15) for all $k \ge 1$. For k = 1, it is easy to verify by similar calculation that

$$\int_{B_1} |\nabla (u(x))|^2 |x|^{\alpha} dx = \frac{(n-2)^2 + 2\alpha(n-2)}{4} \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r) r^{\alpha-1} dr + \frac{\omega_n}{4} \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 A_1(r)^{-1} r^{\alpha-1} dr + \frac{\alpha \omega_n}{2} \int_0^1 v_k(r)^2 r^{\alpha-1} dr + \omega_n \int_0^1 v_k'(r)^2 A_1(r) r^{\alpha+1} dr.$$

Since $v_{k+1}(r) = v_k(r)A_{k+1}(r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, direct calculation gives

$$v'_{k}(r)^{2} = v'_{k+1}(r)^{2} A_{k+1}(r) - \frac{1}{r} v_{k+1}(r) v'_{k+1}(r) \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \right)^{-1} + \frac{1}{4r^{2}} v_{k+1}(r)^{2} \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \right)^{-2} A_{k+1}(r)^{-1}.$$

Then the last term in the right hand side of (3.15) becomes

$$\omega_n \int_0^1 v_k'(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) r^{\alpha+1} dr
= \omega_n \int_0^1 v_{k+1}'(r)^2 A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) A_{k+1}(r) r^{\alpha+1} dr + \frac{\alpha \omega_n}{2} \int_0^1 v_{k+1}(r)^2 r^{\alpha-1} dr
+ \frac{\omega_n}{4} \int_0^1 v_{k+1}(r)^2 \left(A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) A_{k+1}(r) \right)^{-1} r^{\alpha-1} dr.$$

Hence the last term in the right hand side of (3.15) generates the new terms such that

$$\int_{B_{1}} |\nabla (u(x))|^{2} |x|^{\alpha} dx = \frac{(n-2)^{2} + 2\alpha(n-2)}{4} \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k+1}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k+1}(r) r^{\alpha-1} dr$$

$$+ \frac{\omega_{n}}{4} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k+1}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k+1}(r) \left[A_{1}(r)^{-2} + \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \right)^{-2} + \dots \right]$$

$$+ \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) \right)^{-2} + \left(A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) A_{k+1}(r) \right)^{-2} r^{\alpha-1} dr$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha \omega_{n}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} v_{k+1}(r)^{2} \left[A_{2}(r) A_{3}(r) \dots A_{k+1}(r) + A_{3}(r) A_{4}(r) \dots A_{k+1}(r) + \dots \right]$$

$$+ A_{k}(r) A_{k+1}(r) + A_{k+1}(r) + 1 r^{\alpha-1} dr$$

$$+ \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{1} v'_{k+1}(r)^{2} A_{1}(r) A_{2}(r) \dots A_{k}(r) A_{k+1}(r) r^{\alpha+1} dr$$

Therefore (3.15) is valid for all $k \geq 1$.

Ignoring the last two terms in the right hand side of (3.15) and for

$$v_k(r)^2 = u(r)^2 r^{n-2} \left(A_1(r) A_2(r) \dots A_k(r) \right)^{-1}, \quad k \ge 1$$

we get

$$\int_{B_{1}} |\nabla u(x)|^{2} |x|^{\alpha} dx \ge \frac{(n-2)^{2} + 2\alpha(n-2)}{4} \int_{B_{1}} u(x)^{2} |x|^{\alpha-2} dx
+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_{1}} u(x)^{2} |x|^{\alpha-2} \left[A_{1}(|x|)^{-2} + \left(A_{1}(|x|) A_{2}(|x|) \right)^{-2} + \dots \right]
+ \left(A_{1}(|x|) A_{2}(|x|) \dots A_{k}(|x|) \right)^{-2} dx.$$
(3.16)

Hence inequality (3.1) holds for domain B_1 . By density argument, inequality (3.1) is valid for any $u \in W_0^{1,2}(B_\rho)$, $u \ge 0$. Thus theorem 3.1 follows.

References

- [1] Adimurthi, Chaudhuri, N., and Ramaswamy, M., (2001). An improved Hardy-Sobolev inequality and its application. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society. Vol. 130, No. 2, pp 489-505.
- [2] **Detalla, A. L., Horiuchi, T., and Ando, H.,** (2004). *Missing Terms in Hardy-Sobolev Inequality*. Proceedings of the Japan Academy. **Vol. 80,** Ser. A, No. 8, pp 160-165.
- [3] Detalla, A. L., Horiuchi, T., and Ando, H., (2004). Missing Terms in Hardy-Sobolev Inequality and its Application. Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences. Vol. 14,, No. 3, pp 333-359.
- [4] **Detalla, A. L., Horiuchi, T., and Ando, H.,** (2005). Sharp Remainder Terms of Hardy-Sobolev Inequalities. Mathematical Journal of Ibaraki University. **Vol. 37**, pp 39-52.
- [5] **Detalla, A. L., Horiuchi, T., and Ando, H.,** (2005). Sharp Remainder Terms of Hardy-Sobolev Inequalities. Preprint 2005:32, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Division of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology/Goteborg University.