



## $\theta_\beta$ -OPEN SETS AND $\theta_\beta$ -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS IN THE PRODUCT SPACE

Laika Angela B. Añora\* & Mhelmar A. Labendia

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

MSU-Iligan Institute of Technology, 9200 Iligan City, Philippines

[laikaangela.anora@g.msuiit.edu.ph](mailto:laikaangela.anora@g.msuiit.edu.ph), [mhelmar.labendia@g.msuiit.edu.ph](mailto:mhelmar.labendia@g.msuiit.edu.ph)

Received: 28th May 2025

Revised: 18th July 2025

### Abstract

In this paper, we introduced and characterized a new class of open set called  $\theta_\beta$ -open set. Notably, the collection of all  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets forms a topology. We then examined the relationship between  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets and other well-known concepts, such as classical open sets,  $\theta$ -open sets, and  $\beta$ -open sets. Additionally, we defined and investigated the concepts of  $\theta_\beta$ -interior and  $\theta_\beta$ -closure of a set, as well as  $\theta_\beta$ -open functions,  $\theta_\beta$ -closed functions,  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous functions, and  $\theta_\beta$ -connectedness. Finally, we present characterizations of  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous functions from an arbitrary topological space into the product space, along with some versions of separation axioms.

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Over time, numerous mathematicians have been drawn to the idea of refining or expanding classical topological concepts by replacing them with alternatives that possess either weaker or stronger properties. This approach can be traced back to Levine [16] in 1963 when he introduced the concepts of semi-open and semi-closed sets, as well as semi-continuity for functions. This pioneering idea led to the development of new results, many of which serve as generalizations of established theories.

In 1968, Velicko [21] introduced the concepts of  $\theta$ -closure and  $\theta$ -interior for subsets of a topological space, and subsequently defined the notion of  $\theta$ -continuity for functions in topological spaces. Several authors then have obtained results related to  $\theta$ -open sets, see [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . The  $\theta$ -closure and  $\theta$ -interior of  $A$  are, respectively, denoted and defined by

$$Cl_\theta(A) = \{x \in X : Cl(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ for every open set } U \text{ containing } x\}$$

and

$$Int_\theta(A) = \{x \in X : Cl(U) \subseteq A \text{ for some open set } U \text{ containing } x\},$$

---

\*Corresponding author

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54A10, 54A05

**Keywords and Phrases:**  $\theta_\beta$ -open,  $\theta_\beta$ -closed,  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous,  $\theta_\beta$ -connected

This research is supported by the Department of Science and Technology - Accelerated Science and Technology Human Resource Development Program (DOST-ASTHRDP)

where  $Cl(U)$  is the closure of  $U$  in  $X$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $\theta$ -closed if  $Cl_\theta(A) = A$  and  $\theta$ -open if  $Int_\theta(A) = A$ . Equivalently,  $A$  is  $\theta$ -open if and only if  $X \setminus A$  is  $\theta$ -closed. It is known that the collection  $\mathcal{T}_\theta$  of all  $\theta$ -open sets forms a topology on  $X$ , which is strictly coarser than  $\mathcal{T}$ .

In 1983, El-Monsef et al. [10] introduced the concept of  $\beta$ -open sets and expanded the theory by defining and characterizing  $\beta$ -continuous functions and  $\beta$ -open mappings. Several papers have studied the concepts of  $\beta$ -open sets and its corresponding topological concepts, such as [3, 11, 18].

A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be  $\beta$ -open if  $A \subseteq Cl(Int(Cl(A)))$ . The complement of  $\beta$ -open is called  $\beta$ -closed set. For a given subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ ,  $\beta Cl(A) = A \cup Int(Cl(Int(A)))$  [11]. Moreover, the union of all  $\beta$ -open sets in  $X$  that are contained in  $A$  is called  $\beta$ -interior of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\beta Int(A)$  [11]. Equivalently,  $A$  is  $\beta$ -open (resp.,  $\beta$ -closed) if and only if  $A = \beta Int(A)$  (resp.,  $A = \beta Cl(A)$ ). It is worth noting that the collection of all  $\beta$ -open sets is not necessarily a topology on  $X$ .

A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be connected (resp.,  $\theta$ -connected,  $\beta$ -connected [19]) if  $X$  cannot be written as the union of two nonempty disjoint open (resp.,  $\theta$ -open,  $\beta$ -open) sets. Otherwise,  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is disconnected (resp.,  $\theta$ -disconnected,  $\beta$ -disconnected). Furthermore, it has been shown in [13] that  $\beta$ -connected  $\Rightarrow$  connected.

It is known that  $Int_\theta(A)$  is the largest  $\theta$ -open set contained in  $A$  and  $Cl_\theta(A)$  is the smallest  $\theta$ -closed set containing  $A$  [14]. Moreover,  $x \in Int_\theta(A)$  if and only if there exists an open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $Cl(U) \subseteq A$  and  $x \in Cl_\theta(A)$  (resp.,  $x \in \beta Cl(A)$ ) if and only if for every open set (resp.,  $\beta$ -open set)  $U$  containing  $x$ ,  $Cl(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  [21] (resp.,  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  [11]).

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an indexing set and  $\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be a family of topological spaces. For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  be the topology on  $Y_\alpha$ . The Tychonoff topology on  $\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is the topology generated by a subbase consisting of all sets  $\langle U_\alpha \rangle = p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ , where  $p_\alpha : \prod\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\} \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ , the  $\alpha$ th coordinate projection map is defined by  $p_\alpha(\langle Y_\beta \rangle) = y_\alpha$ ,  $U_\alpha$  ranges over all members of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ , and  $\alpha$  ranges over all elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Corresponding to  $U_\alpha \subseteq Y_\alpha$ , denote  $p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  by  $\langle U_\alpha \rangle$ . Similarly, for finitely many indices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  and sets  $U_{\alpha_1} \subseteq Y_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2} \subseteq Y_{\alpha_2}, \dots, U_{\alpha_n} \subseteq Y_{\alpha_n}$ , the subset

$$\langle U_{\alpha_1} \rangle \cap \langle U_{\alpha_2} \rangle \cap \dots \cap \langle U_{\alpha_n} \rangle = p_{\alpha_1}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_1}) \cap p_{\alpha_2}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_2}) \cap \dots \cap p_{\alpha_n}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_n})$$

is denoted by  $\langle U_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2}, \dots, U_{\alpha_n} \rangle$ . We note that for each open set  $U_\alpha$  subset of  $Y_\alpha$ ,  $\langle U_\alpha \rangle = p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) = U_\alpha \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} Y_\beta$ . Hence, a basis for the Tychonoff topology consists of sets of the form  $\langle B_{\alpha_1}, B_{\alpha_2}, \dots, B_{\alpha_k} \rangle$ , where  $B_{\alpha_i}$  is open in  $Y_{\alpha_i}$  for every  $i \in K = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

Now, the projection map  $p_\alpha : \prod\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\} \rightarrow Y_\alpha$  is defined by  $p_\alpha(\langle y_\beta \rangle) = y_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is known that every projection map is a continuous open surjection. Also, it is well known that a function  $f$  from an arbitrary space  $X$  into the Cartesian product  $Y$  of the family of spaces  $\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  with the Tychonoff topology is continuous if and only if each coordinate function  $p_\alpha \circ f$  is continuous, where  $p_\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$ -th coordinate projection map.

In this paper, we introduced the concept of  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets and explore their relationships with other well-established concepts in topology, including classical open sets,  $\theta$ -open sets, and  $\beta$ -open sets. Some topological concepts related to  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets are also defined and studied.

## 2 $\theta_\beta$ -Open and $\theta_\beta$ -Closed Functions

In this section, we define and characterize the concepts of  $\theta_\beta$ -open and  $\theta_\beta$ -closed functions. Throughout this paper, if no confusion arise, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\theta_\beta$ -open if for all  $x \in A$ , there exists an open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $\beta Cl(U) \subseteq A$ . A subset  $F$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\theta_\beta$ -closed if its complement  $X \setminus F$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then the following holds:*

- (i) *If  $A$  is  $\theta$ -open, then  $A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open.*
- (ii) *If  $A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open, then  $A$  is open.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $A$  be  $\theta$ -open and let  $x \in A$ . Then there exists an open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $Cl(U) \subseteq A$ . Moreover,  $\beta Cl(U) \subseteq Cl(U) \subseteq A$ , see [2, Diagram I]. Thus,  $A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open.

(ii) Suppose that  $A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open and let  $x \in A$ . By Definition 2.1, there exists an open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $\beta Cl(U) \subseteq A$ . Observe that  $U \subseteq \beta Cl(U) \subseteq A$ . Hence,  $A$  is open.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then the following holds:*

- (i) *If  $A$  is  $\theta$ -closed, then  $A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed.*
- (ii) *If  $A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed, then  $A$  is closed.*

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $A$  is  $\theta$ -closed. Then  $X \setminus A$  is  $\theta$ -open so that by Theorem 2.2 (i),  $X \setminus A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open. Thus,  $X \setminus (X \setminus A) = A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed.

(ii) Assume that  $A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed. Then  $X \setminus A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open and by Theorem 2.2 (ii),  $X \setminus A$  is open. Hence,  $X \setminus (X \setminus A) = A$  is closed.  $\square$

In view of [2, Diagram I], Theorem 2.2, and Corollary 2.3, the following remark holds.

**Remark 2.4.** The following diagram holds for any subset of a topological space:

$$\theta\text{-open} \implies \theta_\beta\text{-open} \implies \text{open} \implies \beta\text{-open}.$$

We note that the above diagram is also true for their respective closed sets. The reverse implications of Remark 2.4 are not true as shown in the next examples.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X$  be a topological space given by  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with topology  $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . The sets  $\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}$ , and  $\{b, c\}$  are  $\theta_\beta$ -open but not  $\theta$ -open.

**Example 2.6.** Consider again the topological space  $X$  in Example 2.5. Observe that  $\{a, b, c\}$  is open but not  $\theta_\beta$ -open.

**Example 2.7.** Consider the space  $X$  given in Example 2.5. Let  $A = \{b, c, d\}$ . Then  $A$  is  $\beta$ -open not open.

**Example 2.8.** Consider the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with the standard topology  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . It is known that every open interval  $(a, b)$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a < b$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Now, we will show that every open interval is  $\theta$ -open.

Indeed,  $(a, b)$  is  $\theta$ -open since for every  $x \in (a, b)$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$x \in (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq Cl((x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)) = [x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon] \subseteq (a, b).$$

Since every  $\theta$ -open is  $\theta_\beta$ -open by Theorem 2.2 (i),  $(a, b)$  is also  $\theta_\beta$ -open. Therefore,  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{T}_{\theta} = \mathcal{T}_{\theta_\beta}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  with the usual topology.

The following result is likely known, but despite a thorough search of the literature, we were unable to find a suitable reference. For the sake of completeness, we provide the statement and proof below.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A, B \subseteq X$ . Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\beta Cl(A) \subseteq \beta Cl(B)$ .*

(ii)  *$\beta Cl(A \cap B) \subseteq \beta Cl(A) \cap \beta Cl(B)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $A \subseteq B$  and  $x \in \beta Cl(A)$ . Then for every  $\beta$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$ ,  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $U \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $x \in \beta Cl(B)$ . Accordingly,  $\beta Cl(A) \subseteq \beta Cl(B)$ .

(ii) Because  $A \cap B \subseteq A$  and  $A \cap B \subseteq B$ , we have  $\beta Cl(A \cap B) \subseteq \beta Cl(A)$  and  $\beta Cl(A \cap B) \subseteq \beta Cl(B)$  by (i). It follows that  $\beta Cl(A \cap B) \subseteq \beta Cl(A) \cap \beta Cl(B)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then

(i) The arbitrary union of  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets is  $\theta_\beta$ -open.

(ii) The finite intersection of  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets is  $\theta_\beta$ -open.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be a collection of  $\theta_\beta$ -open subsets of  $X$  and let  $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} O_\alpha$ . Then  $x \in O_{\alpha_0}$  for some  $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $O_{\alpha_0}$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open, there exists an open set  $F_{\alpha_0}$  containing  $x$  such that  $\beta Cl(F_{\alpha_0}) \subseteq O_{\alpha_0} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} O_\alpha$ . Thus,  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} O_\alpha$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open.

(ii) Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets and  $x \in G_1 \cap G_2$ . Then there exist open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  with  $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$  such that  $\beta Cl(U_1) \subseteq G_1$  and  $\beta Cl(U_2) \subseteq G_2$ . Now,  $U_1 \cap U_2$  is an open set that contains  $x$  so that

$$\beta Cl(U_1 \cap U_2) \subseteq \beta Cl(U_1) \cap \beta Cl(U_2) \subseteq G_1 \cap G_2$$

by Lemma 2.9. Hence,  $G_1 \cap G_2$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open and the result follows.  $\square$

Hence, in view of Remark 2.10, the family of all  $\theta_\beta$ -open subsets of a topological space  $X$  forms a topology on  $X$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{T}_{\theta_\beta}$ .

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then*

(i) *The arbitrary intersection of  $\theta_\beta$ -closed sets is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed.*

(ii) *The finite union of  $\theta_\beta$ -closed sets is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be a family of  $\theta_\beta$ -closed sets in  $X$ . Then  $X \setminus F_\alpha$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . By Remark 2.10 (i),  $X \setminus \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} F_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} (X \setminus F_\alpha)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open. Therefore,  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} F_\alpha$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed.

(ii) Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be  $\theta_\beta$ -closed sets in  $X$ . Then  $X \setminus F_1$  and  $X \setminus F_2$  are  $\theta_\beta$ -open. Since  $X \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2) = (X \setminus F_1) \cap (X \setminus F_2)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open by Remark 2.10 (ii),  $F_1 \cup F_2$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed. Therefore, the conclusion hold.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Assume that  $A$  is open. If  $A$  is  $\beta$ -closed, then  $A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open. In this case,  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\theta_\beta}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be both open and  $\beta$ -closed. Then for all  $x \in A$ , we have  $x \in A \subseteq \beta Cl(A) = A \subseteq A$ . Hence,  $A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open and the claim holds.  $\square$

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ .

(i) The  $\theta_\beta$ -interior of  $A$ , denoted by  $Int_{\theta_\beta}(A)$ , is defined by  $Int_{\theta_\beta}(A) = \bigcup \{U : U \text{ is a } \theta_\beta\text{-open set and } U \subseteq A\}$ . By Remark 2.10,  $Int_{\theta_\beta}(A)$  is the largest  $\theta_\beta$ -open set contained in  $A$ . Moreover,  $x \in Int_{\theta_\beta}(A)$  if and only if there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $U \subseteq A$ .

- (ii) The  $\theta_\beta$ -closure of  $A$  denoted by  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)$ , is defined by  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A) = \bigcap \{F : F \text{ is a } \theta_\beta\text{-closed set and } A \subseteq F\}$ . In view of Remark 2.10,  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)$  is the smallest  $\theta_\beta$ -closed set containing  $A$ . Moreover,  $x \in Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)$  if and only if for every  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$ ,  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

The statements in the succeeding remark can be obtained using arguments similar to those in classical topology and are therefore omitted.

**Remark 2.14.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A, B \subseteq X$ . Then the following statements hold:

- (i)  $Int_{\theta_\beta}(A) \subseteq A$ .
- (ii)  $A \subseteq B$  implies that  $Int_{\theta_\beta}(A) \subseteq Int_{\theta_\beta}(B)$ .
- (iii)  $A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open if and only if  $A = Int_{\theta_\beta}(A)$ .
- (iv)  $Int_{\theta_\beta}(A) = Int_{\theta_\beta}(Int_{\theta_\beta}(A))$ .
- (v)  $Int_{\theta_\beta}(A \cap B) = Int_{\theta_\beta}(A) \cap Int_{\theta_\beta}(B)$ .
- (vi)  $A \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)$ .
- (vii)  $A \subseteq B$  implies that  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A) \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(B)$ .
- (viii)  $A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed if and only if  $A = Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)$ .
- (ix)  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A) = Cl_{\theta_\beta}(Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A))$ .
- (x)  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A \cup B) = Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A) \cup Cl_{\theta_\beta}(B)$ .
- (xi)  $Int_{\theta_\beta}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)$ .
- (xii)  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus Int_{\theta_\beta}(A)$ .
- (xiii)  $x \in Int_{\theta_\beta}(A)$  if and only if there exists an open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $\beta Cl(U) \subseteq A$ .
- (xiv)  $x \in Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)$  if and only if for every open set  $U$  containing  $x$ ,  $\beta Cl(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .
- (xv)  $Int_\theta(A) \subseteq Int_{\theta_\beta}(A) \subseteq Int(A) \subseteq A$ .
- (xvi)  $A \subseteq Cl(A) \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A) \subseteq Cl_\theta(A)$ .

We shall give some characterizations of  $\theta_\beta$ -open and  $\theta_\beta$ -closed functions.

**Definition 2.15.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$  if  $f(G)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$  for every open set  $G$  in  $X$ .

**Example 2.16.** Consider  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topology  $\mathcal{T}_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{b\}\}$  and  $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$  with the topology  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ . Define  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f = \{(a, 1), (b, 2), (c, 3)\}$ . Note that the open sets in  $X$  are  $\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}$ , and  $\{b\}$ . Also,  $f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $f(X) = Y$ ,  $f(\{a, c\}) = \{1, 3\}$ , and  $f(\{b\}) = \{2\}$ . Clearly,  $\emptyset$  and  $Y$  are  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$ . Moreover, since  $\{2\}$  is open in  $Y$  and  $\beta Cl(\{2\}) = \{2\} \subseteq \{2\}$ ,  $\{2\}$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$ . Similarly,  $\beta Cl(\{1, 3\}) = \{1, 3\} \subseteq \{1, 3\}$  so that  $\{1, 3\}$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$ . This implies that  $\emptyset, Y, \{2\}$ , and  $\{1, 3\}$  are all  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$ . Thus,  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open function on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.17.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\theta_\beta$ -closed on  $X$  if  $f(F)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $Y$  for every closed set  $F$  in  $X$ .

**Example 2.18.** Consider  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topology  $\mathcal{T}_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{c\}\}$  and  $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$  with the topology  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ . Define  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f = \{(a, 3), (b, 1), (c, 2)\}$ . Note that the closed sets in  $X$  are  $\emptyset, X, \{c\}$ , and  $\{a, b\}$ . Also,  $f(\emptyset) = \emptyset, f(X) = Y, f(\{c\}) = \{2\}$ , and  $f(\{a, b\}) = \{1, 3\}$ . Clearly,  $\emptyset$  and  $Y$  are  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $Y$ . Furthermore, since  $\{2\}$  is open in  $Y$  and  $\beta Cl(\{2\}) = \{2\} \subseteq \{2\}$ ,  $\{2\}$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$ . Thus,  $Y \setminus \{2\} = \{1, 3\}$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $Y$ . Similarly,  $\beta Cl(\{1, 3\}) = \{1, 3\} \subseteq \{1, 3\}$  so that  $\{1, 3\}$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$  which implies that  $Y \setminus \{1, 3\} = \{2\}$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $Y$ . Therefore,  $\emptyset, Y, \{1, 3\}$ , and  $\{2\}$  are all  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $Y$  so that  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed function on  $X$ .

In view of Remark 2.4, and Definitions 2.15 and 2.17, we have the following remark.

**Remark 2.19.** The following diagram holds for a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \theta\text{-open function} & \implies & \theta_\beta\text{-open function} \\ & \Downarrow & \\ \beta\text{-open function} & \longleftarrow & \text{open function} \end{array}$$

Note that the diagram is also true for their respective closed functions. Moreover, the reverse implications of Remark 2.19 are not necessarily true as shown in the subsequent examples.

**Example 2.20.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with topology  $\mathcal{T}_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$  and  $Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with topology  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . Define a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f = \{(1, a), (2, c), (3, b), (4, d)\}$ . Then  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$  but not  $\theta$ -open since  $f(\{1, 2\}) = \{a, c\}$  and  $f(\{3, 4\}) = \{b, d\}$  are not  $\theta$ -open in  $Y$ .

**Example 2.21.** Consider  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$  with topology  $\mathcal{T}_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$  and  $Y = \{i, o, u\}$  with topology  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{i\}, \{o\}, \{i, o\}\}$ . Define  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f = \{(0, i), (1, o), (2, u)\}$ . Then  $f$  is open on  $X$  but not  $\theta_\beta$ -open since  $f(\{0, 1\}) = \{i, o\}$  is not  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $Y$ .

**Example 2.22.** Consider  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with respective topologies  $\mathcal{T}_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . Define a function  $f = \{(1, c), (2, a), (3, b), (4, d)\}$ . Then  $f$  is  $\beta$ -open on  $X$  but not open on  $X$  since  $f(\{3, 4\}) = \{b, d\}$  is not open on  $Y$ .

**Theorem 2.23.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a bijective function. Then  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open if and only if  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$  and let  $F$  be closed on  $X$ . Then  $X \setminus F$  is open in  $X$  and  $f(X \setminus F)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is bijective,  $f(X \setminus F) = Y \setminus f(F)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$ , that is  $f(F)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $Y$ .

Conversely, assume that  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed on  $X$  and let  $G$  be open on  $X$ . Then  $X \setminus G$  is closed on  $X$  and  $f(X \setminus G)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is bijective,  $f(X \setminus G) = Y \setminus f(G)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $Y$ , that is,  $f(G)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.24.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent

- (i)  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$ ;
- (ii)  $f(\text{Int}(A)) \subseteq \text{Int}_{\theta_\beta}(f(A))$  for each  $A \subseteq X$ ; and



- (iii)  $f(B)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open for every basic open set  $B$  in  $X$ .
- (iv) For each  $x \in X$  and for every open set  $O$  in  $X$  containing  $x$ , there exists an open set  $W$  in  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$  such that  $\beta Cl(W) \subseteq f(O)$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$ . Then  $f(G)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open for all open set  $G \subseteq X$ . Let  $A \subseteq X$ . Observe that  $Int(A) \subseteq A$  so that  $f(Int(A)) \subseteq f(A)$ . Since  $Int(A)$  is open,  $f(Int(A))$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open and is contained in  $f(A)$ . Note that  $Int_{\theta_\beta}(f(A))$  is the largest  $\theta_\beta$ -open set contained in  $f(A)$  by Definition 2.13 (i). Thus,  $f(Int(A)) \subseteq Int_{\theta_\beta}(f(A))$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Assume that (ii) holds. Let  $B$  be a basic open set in  $X$ . Then  $B$  is an open set in  $X$  and  $B = Int(B)$ . By assumption,

$$f(B) = f(Int(B)) \subseteq Int_{\theta_\beta}(f(B)) \subseteq f(B).$$

Hence,  $f(B) = Int_{\theta_\beta}(f(B))$ . Therefore,  $f(B)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open by Remark 2.14 (iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) Suppose that (iii) holds. Let  $x \in X$  and let  $O$  be an open set in  $X$  containing  $x$ . Then there exists a basic open set  $B$  containing  $x$  such that  $x \in B \subseteq O$ . This implies that  $f(x) \in f(B) \subseteq f(O)$ . Since  $f(B)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open, there exists an open set  $W$  in  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$  such that  $\beta Cl(W) \subseteq f(B) \subseteq f(O)$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Assume that (iv) holds. Let  $O$  be open in  $X$  and  $y \in f(O)$ . Then there exists  $x \in O$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . By assumption, there exists an open set  $W$  in  $Y$  containing  $f(x) = y$  such that  $\beta Cl(W) \subseteq f(O)$ . Hence,  $f(O)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.25.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $X$ .
- (ii)  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(f(A)) \subseteq f(Cl(A))$  for every  $A \subseteq X$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $X$ . Let  $A \subseteq X$ . Observe that  $A \subseteq Cl(A)$  so that  $f(A) \subseteq f(Cl(A))$ . Since  $Cl(A)$  is closed,  $f(Cl(A))$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed containing  $f(A)$ . Moreover, since  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(f(A))$  is the smallest  $\theta_\beta$ -closed set containing  $f(A)$ , we have  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(f(A)) \subseteq f(Cl(A))$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose that (ii) holds. Let  $F$  be closed in  $X$ . Then  $F = Cl(F)$ . By assumption,

$$f(F) \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(f(F)) \subseteq f(Cl(F)) = f(F),$$

that is,  $f(F) = Cl_{\theta_\beta}(f(F))$ . Thus,  $f(F)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.26.** Let  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $Z$  be topological spaces. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is open on  $X$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $Y$ , then the composition  $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and let  $U$  be open in  $X$  with  $x \in U$ . Since  $f$  is open on  $X$ ,  $f(U)$  is open on  $Y$ . This means that there exists an open set  $V_Y$  in  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$  such that  $V_Y \subseteq f(U)$ . Since  $g$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $Y$ , there exists an open set  $V_Z$  in  $Z$  containing  $g(f(x)) = (g \circ f)(x)$  such that  $\beta Cl(V_Z) \subseteq g(V_Y)$ , by Theorem 2.24 (iv). Hence,

$$\beta Cl(V_Z) \subseteq g(V_Y) \subseteq g(f(U)) = (g \circ f)(U).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.24 (iv),  $g \circ f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.27.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $\mathcal{T}_A$  be the subspace topology on  $A \subseteq X$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$  and  $A$  is open on  $X$ , then  $f|_A : A \rightarrow Y$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in A$  and  $G$  be open in  $A$  containing  $x$ . Then  $G = A \cap U$ , where  $U$  is open in  $X$ . Since  $A$  is open in  $X$ ,  $G$  is also open in  $X$ . By assumption,  $f(G)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$ , that is, there exists an open set  $V$  in  $Y$  containing  $f(x) = f|_A(x)$  such that

$$\beta Cl(V) \subseteq f(G) = f|_A(G).$$

By Theorem 2.24 (iv),  $f|_A : A \rightarrow Y$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.28.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  be topological spaces and let  $\mathcal{T}_B$  and  $\mathcal{T}_C$  be two respective subspace topologies on  $B, C \subseteq X$ . If  $X = B \cup C$  and  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  is a function such that  $f|_B : (B, \mathcal{T}_B) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  and  $f|_C : (C, \mathcal{T}_C) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  are  $\theta_\beta$ -open, then  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $U \in \mathcal{T}_X$  containing  $x$ . Since  $X = B \cup C$ , it follows that  $x \in B$  or  $x \in C$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $x \in B \cap U \in \mathcal{T}_B$ . By assumption, there exists an open set  $W$  in  $Y$  containing  $f|_B(x) = f(x)$  such that

$$\beta Cl(W) \subseteq f|_B(B \cap U) \subseteq f(U).$$

Hence,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open by Theorem 2.24 (iv).

If  $x \in C$ , then  $C \cap U \in \mathcal{T}_C$  with  $x \in C \cap U$ . By a similar argument,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$ .  $\square$

### 3 $\theta_\beta$ -Continuous Functions

This section characterizes the concept of  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous functions and determines its relationship to the other versions of continuity.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$  if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open for every open  $U$  in  $Y$ .

By Remark 2.4, we have the following remark.

**Remark 3.2.** The following diagram holds for a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \theta\text{-continuous} & \implies & \theta_\beta\text{-continuous} \\ & \Downarrow & \\ \beta\text{-continuous} & \longleftarrow & \text{continuous} \end{array}$$

The following examples illustrate that the reverse implications of Remark 3.2 do not hold.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with topology  $\mathcal{T}_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$  and  $Y = \{r, s, t, u\}$  with topology  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{r, s\}, \{t, u\}\}$ . Define  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f = \{(a, r), (b, t), (c, s), (d, u)\}$ . Then  $f^{-1} = \{(r, a), (t, b), (s, c), (u, d)\}$ . Now,  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$  but not  $\theta$ -continuous on  $X$  since  $f^{-1}(\{r, s\}) = \{a, c\}$  and  $f^{-1}(\{t, u\}) = \{b, d\}$  are not  $\theta$ -open in  $X$ .

**Example 3.4.** Consider  $X = \{i, o, u\}$  with topology  $\mathcal{T}_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{i\}, \{o\}, \{i, o\}\}$  and  $Y = \{0, 1, 2\}$  with topology  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$ . Define a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f = \{(i, 0), (o, 1), (u, 2)\}$ . Then  $f^{-1} = \{(0, i), (1, o), (2, u)\}$ . Note that  $f$  is continuous on  $X$  but not  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$  since  $f^{-1}(\{0, 1\}) = \{i, o\}$  is not  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $X$ .



**Example 3.5.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $Y = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with respective topologies given by  $\mathcal{T}_X = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ . Define a function  $f = \{(c, 1), (a, 2), (b, 3), (d, 4)\}$ . Then  $f^{-1} = \{(1, c), (2, a), (3, b), (4, d)\}$ . Observe that  $f$  is  $\beta$ -continuous on  $X$  but not continuous on  $X$  since  $f^{-1}(\{3, 4\}) = \{b, d\}$  is not open on  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ .
- (ii)  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $X$  for each closed subset  $F$  of  $Y$ .
- (iii)  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open for each (subbasic) basic open set  $B$  in  $Y$ .
- (iv) For every  $x \in X$  and every open set  $V$  of  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$ , there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ .
- (v)  $f(Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)) \subseteq Cl(f(A))$  for each  $A \subseteq X$ .
- (vi)  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl(B))$  for each  $B \subseteq Y$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Assume that  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ . Let  $F$  be closed in  $Y$ . Then  $Y \setminus F$  is open. Since  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus F) = X \setminus f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $X$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $X$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose that (ii) holds and let  $O$  be open in  $Y$ . Then  $Y \setminus O$  is closed. By assumption,  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus O) = X \setminus f^{-1}(O)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $X$ . It follows that  $f^{-1}(O)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $X$  so that  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Assume that  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ . Since (subbasic) basic open sets are open, (iii) holds.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Assume that (iii) holds. Let  $G$  be an open set in  $Y$ . Then  $G = \bigcup \{B : B \in \mathcal{B}^*\}$  where  $\mathcal{B}^* \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  is a basis for a topology in  $Y$ . This implies that

$$f^{-1}(G) = \bigcup \{f^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}^*\},$$

where  $f^{-1}(B)$  are  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets on  $X$  by assumption. By Remark 2.10 (i), the arbitrary union of all  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets is  $\theta_\beta$ -open. Then  $f^{-1}(G)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $X$ . Consequently,  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) Suppose that  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ . Let  $x \in X$  and let  $V$  be an open set in  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$ . Since  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $X$  containing  $x$ . Set  $U = f^{-1}(V)$ . Then  $f(U) = f(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq V$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) Assume that (iv) holds. Let  $A \subseteq X$  and  $x \in Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)$ . Let  $G$  be an open set in  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$ . By assumption, there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $U$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(U) \subseteq G$ . Since  $x \in Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)$ ,  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  by Definition 2.13 (ii). Thus,

$$\emptyset \neq f(U \cap A) \subseteq f(U) \cap f(A) \subseteq G \cap f(A).$$

It follows that  $f(x) \in Cl(f(A))$ . Accordingly,  $f(Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)) \subseteq Cl(f(A))$ .

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi) Let  $B \subseteq Y$  and let  $A = f^{-1}(B)$ . Then  $f(A) = f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B$ . By assumption,  $f(Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A)) \subseteq Cl(f(A))$ . Hence,

$$Cl_{\theta_\beta}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A))) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl(f(A))) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl(B)).$$

(vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $Y$ . Then  $F = Cl(F)$ . By assumption,

$$Cl_{\theta_\beta}(f^{-1}(F)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl(F)) = f^{-1}(F) \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(f^{-1}(F)).$$

Thus,  $f^{-1}(F) = Cl_{\theta_\beta}(f^{-1}(F))$ . By Remark 2.14 (viii),  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $X$ . □



**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $f_A : X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  the characteristic function of a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the set  $\{0, 1\}$  with discrete topology. Then  $f_A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous if and only if  $A$  is both  $\theta_\beta$ -open and  $\theta_\beta$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is both  $\theta_\beta$ -open and  $\theta_\beta$ -closed. Let  $U$  be an open set in  $\{0, 1\}$ . Then

$$f_A^{-1}(U) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } U = \emptyset, \\ X & \text{if } U = \{0, 1\}, \\ A & \text{if } U = \{1\}, \\ X \setminus A & \text{if } U = \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

Hence,  $f_A^{-1}$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open and so  $f_A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous.

Conversely, assume that  $f_A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous. Let  $U_1 = \{1\}$  and  $U_2 = \{0\}$ . Then  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are both open in  $\{0, 1\}$ . Thus,  $f_A^{-1}(U_1) = A$  and  $f_A^{-1}(U_2) = X \setminus A$  are  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $X$ . Accordingly,  $A$  is both  $\theta_\beta$ -open and  $\theta_\beta$ -closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $Z$  be topological spaces. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  is continuous on  $Y$ , then the composition  $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be open in  $Z$ . Since  $g$  is continuous on  $Y$ ,  $g^{-1}(U)$  is open on  $Y$ . By assumption,  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$  so that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$ . Thus,  $g \circ f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ .  $\square$

## 4 $\theta_\beta$ -Continuous Functions in the Product Space

The following results are related to  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous functions from an arbitrary topological space into the product space.

In the succeeding results, if  $Y = \prod\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a product space and  $A_\alpha \subseteq Y_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , we denote  $A_{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times A_{\alpha_n} \times \prod\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \notin K\}$  by  $\langle A_{\alpha_1}, \dots, A_{\alpha_n} \rangle$ ,  $K = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . If  $Y = \prod\{Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is a finite product, we denote  $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$  by  $\langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $Y = \prod\{Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be a finite product space and  $\emptyset \neq O_i \subseteq Y_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $O = \langle O_1, \dots, O_n \rangle$  is  $\beta$ -open if and only if each  $O_i$  is  $\beta$ -open.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $O = \langle O_1, \dots, O_n \rangle$  is  $\beta$ -open. Then

$$\begin{aligned} O &\subseteq Cl(Int(Cl(O))) \\ &= Cl(Int(Cl(\langle O_1, \dots, O_n \rangle))) \\ &= Cl(Int(\langle Cl(O_1), \dots, Cl(O_n) \rangle)) \\ &= Cl(\langle Int(Cl(O_1)), \dots, Int(Cl(O_n)) \rangle) \\ &= \langle Cl(Int(Cl(O_1))), \dots, Cl(Int(Cl(O_n))) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $O_i \subseteq Cl(Int(Cl(O_i)))$ . Therefore, each  $O_i$  is  $\beta$ -open.

Conversely, assume that each  $O_i$  is  $\beta$ -open. Then for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $O_i \subseteq Cl(Int(Cl(O_i)))$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} O &= \langle O_1, \dots, O_n \rangle \\ &\subseteq \langle Cl(Int(Cl(O_1))), \dots, Cl(Int(Cl(O_n))) \rangle \\ &= Cl(\langle Int(Cl(O_1)), \dots, Int(Cl(O_n)) \rangle) \\ &= Cl(Int(\langle Cl(O_1), \dots, Cl(O_n) \rangle)) \\ &= Cl(Int(Cl(\langle O_1, \dots, O_n \rangle))) \\ &= Cl(Int(Cl(O))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $O$  is  $\beta$ -open.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $Y = \prod\{Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be a finite product space and  $A_i \subseteq Y_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$\beta Cl(\langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle) \subseteq \langle \beta Cl(A_1), \dots, \beta Cl(A_n) \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta Cl(\langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle) &= \langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle \cup Int(Cl(Int(\langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle))) \\ &= \langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle \cup Int(Cl(\langle Int(A_1), \dots, Int(A_n) \rangle)) \\ &= \langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle \cup Int(\langle Cl(Int(A_1)), \dots, Cl(Int(A_n)) \rangle) \\ &= \langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle \cup \langle Int(Cl(Int(A_1))), \dots, Int(Cl(Int(A_n))) \rangle \\ &\subseteq \langle A_1 \cup Int(Cl(Int(A_1))), \dots, A_n \cup Int(Cl(Int(A_n))) \rangle \\ &= \langle \beta Cl(A_1), \dots, \beta Cl(A_n) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

thereby completing the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $Y = \prod\{Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be a finite product space and  $A_i \subseteq Y_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$Cl_{\theta_\beta}(\langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle) \subseteq \langle Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A_1), \dots, Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A_n) \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x = \langle a_i \rangle \in Cl_{\theta_\beta}(\langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle)$ . Then for all open set  $U$  containing  $x$ ,  $\beta Cl(U) \cap \langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that for each  $j$ , there exists an open set  $U_j$  containing  $a_j$  such that  $\beta Cl(U_j) \cap A_j = \emptyset$ . Then  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_j, \dots, U_n \rangle$  is an open set that contains  $x$  and by Theorem 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta Cl(\langle U_1, \dots, U_j, \dots, U_n \rangle) \cap \langle A_1, \dots, A_j, \dots, A_n \rangle \\ \subseteq \langle \beta Cl(U_1) \cap A_1, \dots, \beta Cl(U_j) \cap A_j, \dots, \beta Cl(U_n) \cap A_n \rangle \\ = \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore,  $x \in \langle Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A_1), \dots, Cl_{\theta_\beta}(A_n) \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $Y = \prod\{Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be a finite product space and  $A_i \subseteq Y_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$\langle Int_{\theta_\beta}(A_1), \dots, Int_{\theta_\beta}(A_n) \rangle \subseteq Int_{\theta_\beta}(\langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x = \langle a_i \rangle \in \langle Int_{\theta_\beta}(A_1), \dots, Int_{\theta_\beta}(A_n) \rangle$ . Then  $a_i \in Int_{\theta_\beta}(A_i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This means that there exists an open set  $U_i$  containing  $a_i$  such that  $\beta Cl(U_i) \subseteq A_i$ . Then  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$  is an open set containing  $x$  and so by Theorem 4.2

$$\beta Cl(\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle) \subseteq \langle \beta Cl(U_1), \dots, \beta Cl(U_n) \rangle \subseteq \langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle.$$

Thus,  $x \in Int_{\theta_\beta}(\langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $Y = \prod\{Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be a finite product space and  $\emptyset \neq O_i \subseteq Y_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If each  $O_i$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y_i$ , then  $O = \langle O_1, \dots, O_n \rangle$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = \langle a_i \rangle \in O$ . Then  $a_i \in O_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This implies that for each  $i$ , there exists an open set  $U_i$  containing  $a_i$  such that  $\beta Cl(U_i) \subseteq O_i$ . Let  $U = \langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$ . Then  $U$  is open containing  $x$  and by Theorem 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta Cl(U) &= \beta Cl(\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle) \\ &\subseteq \langle \beta Cl(U_1), \dots, \beta Cl(U_n) \rangle \\ &\subseteq \langle O_1, \dots, O_n \rangle \\ &= O. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $O = \langle O_1, \dots, O_n \rangle$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $X = \prod\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and  $Y = \prod\{Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be finite product spaces and for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$  be a function. If each  $f_i$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X_i$ , then the function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $f(\langle x_i \rangle) = \langle f_i(x_i) \rangle$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\langle V_1, \dots, V_n \rangle$  be a basic open set in  $Y$ . Then

$$f^{-1}(\langle V_1, \dots, V_n \rangle) = \langle f_1^{-1}(V_1), \dots, f_n^{-1}(V_n) \rangle.$$

Since each  $f_i$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous,  $f_i^{-1}(V_i)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $X_i$ . Let  $x = \langle x_i \rangle \in f^{-1}(\langle V_1, \dots, V_n \rangle)$ . Then  $x_i \in f_i^{-1}(V_i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This means that there exists an open set  $O_i$  containing  $x_i$  such that  $\beta Cl(O_i) \subseteq f_i^{-1}(V_i)$ . Then  $\langle O_1, \dots, O_n \rangle$  is open in  $X$  and contains  $x$ . By Theorem 4.2

$$\begin{aligned} \beta Cl(\langle O_1, \dots, O_n \rangle) &\subseteq \langle \beta Cl(O_1), \dots, \beta Cl(O_n) \rangle \\ &\subseteq \langle f_1^{-1}(V_1), \dots, f_n^{-1}(V_n) \rangle \\ &= f^{-1}(\langle V_1, \dots, V_n \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $f^{-1}(\langle V_1, \dots, V_n \rangle)$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -open on  $X$ . Therefore,  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $Y = \prod\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be a product space. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous if and only if  $p_\alpha \circ f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ . Let  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $U_\alpha$  be open in  $Y_\alpha$ . Since  $p_\alpha$  is continuous,  $p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  is open in  $Y$ . Hence,

$$f^{-1}(p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha)) = (p_\alpha \circ f)^{-1}(U_\alpha)$$

is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $X$ . Therefore,  $p_\alpha \circ f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Conversely, suppose that each coordinate function  $p_\alpha \circ f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ . Let  $\langle O_\alpha \rangle$  be a subbasic open set in  $Y$ . Then  $O_\alpha$  is open in  $Y_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and

$$(p_\alpha \circ f)^{-1}(O_\alpha) = f^{-1}(p_\alpha^{-1}(O_\alpha)) = f^{-1}(\langle O_\alpha \rangle)$$

is  $\theta_\beta$ -open in  $X$ . Thus,  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $Y = \prod\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be a product space, and  $f_\alpha : X \rightarrow Y_\alpha$  be a function for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be the function defined by  $f(x) = \langle f_\alpha(x) \rangle$ . Then  $f$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$  if and only if each  $f_\alpha$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous on  $X$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and every  $x \in X$ , we have

$$(p_\alpha \circ f)(x) = p_\alpha(f(x)) = p_\alpha(\langle f_\beta(x) \rangle) = f_\alpha(x).$$

Hence,  $p_\alpha \circ f = f_\alpha$ . The result follows from Theorem 4.7.  $\square$

## 5 $\theta_\beta$ -Connected Space and Versions of Separation Axioms

In this section, we provide characterizations of  $\theta_\beta$ -connected space and some versions of separation axioms.

**Definition 5.1.** A topological space  $X$  is said to be a  $\theta_\beta$ -connected if it is not the union of two nonempty disjoint  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets. Otherwise,  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -disconnected.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -connected.
- (ii) The only subsets of  $X$  that are both  $\theta_\beta$ -open and  $\theta_\beta$ -closed are  $\emptyset$  and  $X$ .
- (iii) No  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is surjective.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -connected. Let  $F \subseteq X$  which is both  $\theta_\beta$ -open and  $\theta_\beta$ -closed. Then  $X \setminus F$  is also both  $\theta_\beta$ -open and  $\theta_\beta$ -closed. Note that  $X = F \cup (X \setminus F)$ . Since  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -connected, either  $F = \emptyset$  or  $F = X$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Suppose that (ii) holds and let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous surjection. Then  $f^{-1}(\{0\}) \neq \emptyset, X$ . Since  $\{0\}$  is both open and closed in  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$  is both  $\theta_\beta$ -open and  $\theta_\beta$ -closed in  $X$ , a contradiction. Thus, (iii) follows.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Assume that (iii) holds and let  $X = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty disjoint  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets. Then  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -disconnected. Note that  $A$  and  $B$  are also  $\theta_\beta$ -closed sets. Consider the characteristic function  $f_A : X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  of  $A \subseteq X$ , which is surjective. By Theorem 3.7,  $f_A$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -continuous. This gives a contradiction. Thus,  $X$  must be  $\theta_\beta$ -connected.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -connected if and only if  $X$  is  $\theta$ -connected.

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -connected. Then  $X$  cannot be the union of two nonempty disjoint  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets. By Theorem 2.2 (i), every  $\theta$ -open set is  $\theta_\beta$ -open. It follows that  $X$  is not a union of  $\theta$ -open sets. Accordingly,  $X$  is  $\theta$ -connected.

Conversely, suppose that  $X$  is  $\theta$ -connected. Then  $X$  is connected. Hence,  $X$  cannot be the union of two nonempty disjoint open sets. Since every  $\theta_\beta$ -open set is open by Theorem 2.2 (ii), it follows that  $X$  is not the union of two nonempty disjoint  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets. Therefore,  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -connected.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -connected if and only if  $X$  is connected.

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 5.3 and from the fact that connected and  $\theta$ -connected spaces are equivalent [21].  $\square$

**Remark 5.5.** The following diagram holds for a subset of a topological space.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \beta\text{-connected} & \implies & \text{connected} \\ & & \updownarrow \\ \theta_\beta\text{-connected} & \iff & \theta\text{-connected} \end{array}$$

The reverse implication for connected and  $\beta$ -connected spaces is not true as shown in the next example.

**Example 5.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with topology  $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Clearly,  $X$  is connected but not  $\beta$ -connected since  $\{a, c\}$  and  $\{b\}$  are two disjoint  $\beta$ -open sets, with  $X = \{a, c\} \cup \{b\}$ .

**Definition 5.7.** A topological space  $X$  is said to be

- (i)  $\theta_\beta$ -Hausdorff if given any pair of distinct points  $p, q$  in  $X$ , there exist disjoint  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $p \in U$  and  $q \in V$ ;

- (ii)  $\theta_\beta$ -regular if for each closed set  $F$  and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ ;
- (iii)  $\theta_\beta$ -normal if for every pair of disjoint closed sets  $E$  and  $F$  of  $X$ , there exist disjoint  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $E \subseteq U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -Hausdorff.
- (ii) For distinct  $x, w \in X$ , there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $w \notin Cl_{\theta_\beta}(U)$ .
- (iii) For each  $x \in X$ ,

$$C_x = \bigcap \{Cl_{\theta_\beta}(U) : U \text{ is } \theta_\beta\text{-open containing } x\} = \{x\}.$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $X$  be  $\theta_\beta$ -Hausdorff. By Definition 5.7 (i), for every pair of distinct points  $x, w \in X$ , there exist disjoint  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $w \in V$ . This means that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $w \notin Cl_{\theta_\beta}(U)$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Suppose that (ii) holds. Note that  $x \in C_x$ . By assumption, for every  $x \neq w$ , there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $w \notin Cl_{\theta_\beta}(U)$ . Thus,  $w \notin C_x$ . Since  $w$  is arbitrary,  $C_x = \{x\}$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Assume that (iii) holds. Let  $x, w \in X$  such that  $x \neq w$ . By assumption,  $x \in C_x$ . Since  $x \neq w$ ,  $w \notin C_x$ , that is,  $w \notin \bigcap \{Cl_{\theta_\beta}(U) : U \text{ is } \theta_\beta\text{-open containing } x\}$ . This means that there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $w \notin Cl_{\theta_\beta}(U)$ . This completes the proof.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose that (ii) holds. Let  $x, w \in X$  such that  $x \neq w$ . By assumption, there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $w \notin Cl_{\theta_\beta}(U)$ . By Definition 2.13 (ii), there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $w$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -Hausdorff.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -regular.
- (ii) For each  $x \in X$  and an open set  $U$  containing  $x$ , there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $V$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \subseteq U$ .
- (iii) For each  $x \in X$  and closed set  $F$  with  $x \notin F$ , there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$  such that  $F \cap Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -regular. Let  $x \in X$  and  $U$  be an open set containing  $x$ . Then  $X \setminus U$  is closed and  $x \notin X \setminus U$ . By assumption, there exist disjoint open sets  $V$  and  $W$  such that  $x \in V$  and  $X \setminus U \subseteq W$ . Since  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ ,  $V \subseteq X \setminus W$ . By Theorem 2.14 (xii),

$$Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(X \setminus W) = X \setminus Int_{\theta_\beta}(W) = X \setminus W.$$

This means that  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \cap W = \emptyset$ . Consequently,

$$Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \cap (X \setminus U) \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \cap W = \emptyset.$$

Hence,  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \subseteq U$ . Thus,  $x \in V \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \subseteq U$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Suppose that (ii) holds. Let  $x \in X$  and  $F$  be a closed set with  $x \notin F$ . Then  $X \setminus F$  is open and  $x \in X \setminus F$ . By assumption, there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$  such that  $V \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \subseteq X \setminus F$ . This means that  $F \cap Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) = \emptyset$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $x \in X$  and  $F$  be a closed set such that  $x \notin F$ . By assumption, there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$  such that  $F \cap Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) = \emptyset$ . Observe that  $X \setminus Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V)$  is a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set and  $F \subseteq X \setminus Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V)$ . Since  $V \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V)$ ,  $V \cap X \setminus Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -regular.  $\square$



**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -normal.
- (ii) For each closed set  $A$  and an open set  $U \supseteq A$ , there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $A$  such that  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \subseteq U$ .
- (iii) For each pair of disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $A$  such that  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \cap B = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Assume that  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -normal. Let  $A$  be a closed set and  $U$  be an open set such that  $A \subseteq U$ . Then  $A$  and  $X \setminus U$  are disjoint closed sets in  $X$ . By assumption, there exist disjoint  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets  $V$  and  $W$  such that  $A \subseteq V$  and  $X \setminus U \subseteq W$ . Since  $X \setminus U \subseteq W$  and  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ ,  $X \setminus W \subseteq U$  and  $V \subseteq X \setminus W$ . By Theorem 2.14 (xii),

$$Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(X \setminus W) \subseteq X \setminus Int_{\theta_\beta}(W) = X \setminus W.$$

Thus,  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \subseteq X \setminus W \subseteq U$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Suppose that (ii) holds. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be a pair of disjoint closed sets in  $X$ . Then  $A \subseteq X \setminus B$  and  $X \setminus B$  is open. By assumption, there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $A$  such that  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \subseteq X \setminus B$ . This means that  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \cap B = \emptyset$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose that (iii) holds. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint closed sets in  $X$ . By assumption, there exists a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $A$  such that  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $B \subseteq X \setminus Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V)$ . Observe that  $Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V)$  is a  $\theta_\beta$ -closed set. Thus,  $X \setminus Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V)$  is a  $\theta_\beta$ -open set. Since  $V \subseteq Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V)$ ,  $V \cap (X \setminus Cl_{\theta_\beta}(V)) = \emptyset$ . Accordingly,  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -normal.  $\square$

A topological space  $X$  is said to be a  $T_1$ -space if for each  $p, q \in X$  with  $p \neq q$ , there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $p \in U, q \notin U$ , and  $q \in V, p \notin V$ .

**Theorem 5.11.** *Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$ -space. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) If  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -regular, then  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -Hausdorff.
- (ii) If  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -normal, then  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -regular.

*Proof.* (i) Assume that  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -regular. Let  $x, w \in X$  with  $x \neq w$ . Since  $X$  is a  $T_1$ -space, there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U, w \notin U$ , and  $w \in V, x \notin V$ . This implies that  $x \notin X \setminus U, w \in X \setminus U$ , and  $X \setminus U$  is closed. Since  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -regular, there exist disjoint  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $x \in A$  and  $X \setminus U \subseteq B$ . Since  $w \in X \setminus U, w \in B$ . Thus,  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -Hausdorff.

(ii) Let  $X$  be  $\theta_\beta$ -normal. Since  $X$  is a  $T_1$ -space, there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U, w \notin U$ , and  $w \in V, x \notin V$ . This implies that  $x \notin X \setminus U, w \notin X \setminus V$  and  $X \setminus U$  and  $X \setminus V$  are disjoint closed sets. Since  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -normal, there exist disjoint  $\theta_\beta$ -open sets  $E$  and  $F$  such that  $X \setminus U \subseteq E$  and  $X \setminus V \subseteq F$ . Note that  $x \in X \setminus V \subseteq F$ . Hence  $x \in F$  and  $X \setminus U \subseteq E$ . Therefore,  $X$  is  $\theta_\beta$ -regular.  $\square$

By Theorem 5.11, we have the following remark.

**Remark 5.12.** For a  $T_1$ -space, the following diagram holds:

$$\theta_\beta\text{-normal} \implies \theta_\beta\text{-regular} \implies \theta_\beta\text{-Hausdorff}.$$

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] T. A. Al-Hawary, *On super continuity of topological spaces*, MATEMATIKA **21** (2005), 43–49, [doi:10.11113/matematika.v21.n.513](https://doi.org/10.11113/matematika.v21.n.513).
- [2] Z. A. Ameen, *Somewhat near continuity and some applications*, Asia Mathematika **5** (2021), no. 3, 30–43, [doi:10.5281/zenodo.5809178](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5809178).
- [3] D. Andrijević, *Semi-preopen sets*, Mat. Vesnik **38** (1986), 24–32, [Zbl:0604.54002](https://zb1.org/0604.54002).
- [4] M. Caldas, S. Jafari, and M. M. Kovar, *Some properties of  $\theta$ -open sets*, Divulgaciones Matemáticas **12** (2004), no. 2, 161–169, [Zbl:1099.54501](https://zb1.org/1099.54501).
- [5] M. Caldas, R. Latif, and S. Jafari, *Sobriety via  $\theta$ -open sets*, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S) **56** (2010), 163–167, [doi:10.2478/v10157-010-0011-y](https://doi.org/10.2478/v10157-010-0011-y).
- [6] R. Dickman, and J. Porter,  *$\theta$ -closed subsets of Hausdorff spaces*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **59** (1975), no. 2, 407–415, [doi:10.2140/pjm.1975.59.407](https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1975.59.407).
- [7] R. Dickman Jr, and J. Porter,  *$\theta$ -perfect and  $\theta$ -absolutely closed functions*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **59** (1977), no. 1, 42–60, [doi:10.1215/ijm/1256049499](https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1256049499).
- [8] E. Ekici, S. Jafari, and R.M. Latif, *On a finer topological space than  $\mathcal{T}_\theta$  and some maps*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. **27** (2010), 293–304, [Zbl:1238.54001](https://zb1.org/1238.54001).
- [9] S. N. El-Deeb, I. A. Hasanein, A. S. Mashhour and T.Noiri, *On  $p$ -regular spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roumanie **27** (1983), [Zbl:524.54016](https://zb1.org/524.54016).
- [10] M. E. A. El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, and R. A. Mahmoud,  *$\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mapping*, Bulletin of the Faculty of Science Assiut University **12** (1983), no. 1, 77–90, [Zbl:0577.54008](https://zb1.org/0577.54008).
- [11] M. E. A. El-Monsef, R. A. Mahmoud, and E. R. Lashin,  *$\beta$ -closure and  $\beta$ -interior*, J. Fac. Ed. Ain. Shams Univ. **10** (1986), 235–245, [Zbl:0616.54001](https://zb1.org/0616.54001).
- [12] S. Jafari, *Some properties of quasi- $\theta$ -continuous function*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **6** (1998), 689–696, [Zbl:1036.54505](https://zb1.org/1036.54505).
- [13] S. Jafari, and T. Noiri, *Properties of  $\beta$ -connected space*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica **101** (2003), 227–236, [doi:10.1023/B:AMHU.0000003907.90823.79](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:AMHU.0000003907.90823.79).
- [14] M. Labendia, and S. Canoy, Jr., *On super-open and super-closed maps on topological space*, Journal of Mindanao Mathematics **4** (2009), 15–18.
- [15] M. Labendia, and S. Canoy Jr., *Super-open sets and super continuity of maps in the product space*, MATEMATIKA **29** (2013), 197–202, [doi:10.11113/matematika.v29.n.604](https://doi.org/10.11113/matematika.v29.n.604).
- [16] N. D. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, American Mathematical Monthly **70** (1963), no. 1, 36–41, [doi:10.2307/2312781](https://doi.org/10.2307/2312781).
- [17] O. Njastad, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), 961–970, [doi:10.2140/pjm.1965.15.961](https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1965.15.961).
- [18] T. Noiri, *Weak and strong forms of  $\beta$ -irresolute functions*, Acta Math. Hungar. **99** (2003), 315–328, [doi:10.1023/A:1024687630089](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024687630089).

- [19] V. Popa, and T. Noiri, *Weakly  $\beta$ -continuous functions*, An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Mat. Inform **32** (1994), 83-92, [Zbl:0864.54009](#)
- [20] M. H. Stone, *Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1937), 375-381, [doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-1937-1501905-7](#).
- [21] N. V. Velicko, *H-closed topological spaces*, Trans. AMS. **78** (1968), 103-118, [Zbl:0178.56503](#).

